# Stenographic Transcript Before the

## Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations

## COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

# UNITED STATES SENATE

### INTERVIEW OF

### Ass. Dir.

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR THE OFFICE OF PROTECTIVE OPERATIONS, U.S. SECRET SERVICE

Friday, September 13, 2024

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON COURT REPORTING 1029 VERMONT AVE, NW 10TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005 (202) 289-2260 www.aldersonreporting.com

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
3	AND THE PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS
4	
5	
6	INTERVIEW OF
7	Witness
8	ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR THE OFFICE OF PROTECTIVE OPERATIONS,
9	U.S. SECRET SERVICE
10	
11	
12	
13	Room SD-342
14	Dirksen Senate Office Building
15	Washington, D.C.
16	Friday, September 13, 2024
17	1:07 p.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	On Behalf of the Witness:
4	Witness Counsel Henning Strategies
5	Agency Counsel General Counsel, U.S. Secret Service
6	Agency Counsel Agent Attorney, Office of Chief
7	Counsel, U.S. Secret Service
8	
9	On Behalf of Chairman Peters:
10	HSGAC Majority Chief Counsel/Chief Investigative Counsel,
11	Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
12	Committee
13	HSGAC Majority Deputy Chief Counsel, Senate
14	Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee
15	
16	On Behalf of Ranking Member Paul:
17	HSGAC Minority Chief Counsel, Senate Homeland
18	Security and Governmental Affairs Committee
19	HSGAC Minority Investigator, Senate Homeland Security
20	And Governmental Affairs Committee
21	HSGAC Minority Staff Director, Senate Homeland
22	Security and Governmental Affairs Committee
23	
24	



25

1	APPEARANCES (continued):	
2		
3	On Behalf of PSI Chairman Blumenthal:	
4	PSI Majority Counsel, Permanent Subcommittee	
5	on Investigations	
6		
7	On Behalf of PSI Ranking Member Johnson:	
8	PSI Minority Staff Director,	
9	Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations	
10	PSI Minority Deputy Staff Director,	
11	Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations	
12		
13	EXHIBITS:	
14	1) Unclassified Instruction by Chairman of The Joint	
15	Chiefs of Staff Related to Sharing of Information	55
16	2) United States Secret Service's Mission Support	
17	Statement, Protective Intelligence	70
18	3) Washington Post Article dated July 30th, 2024	92
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



#### 1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 HSGAC Majority Okay. Good morning. This is a transcribed
- 3 interview of U.S. Secret Service Special Agent Special Agent
- 4 being conducted by the Senate Committee on Homeland
- 5 Security and Governmental Affairs and the Senate Permanent
- 6 Subcommittee on Investigations.
- 7 This interview was requested by Committee Chairman
- 8 Gary Peters, Ranking Member Rand Paul, as well as
- 9 Subcommittee Chairman Richard Blumenthal and Ranking Member
- 10 Ron Johnson. This is a part of the Committee's
- 11 investigation of the July 13, 2024, assassination attempt
- on former President Trump, and the U.S. Secret Service
- 13 planning in advance of and response related to the events
- in Butler, Pennsylvania, on July 13th, 2024.
- On July 25, 2024, Chairmen Peters, Blumenthal, and
- 16 Ranking Members Paul and Johnson requested a voluntary
- 17 transcribed interview with U.S. Secret Service personnel,
- 18 including Witness could you please state
- 19 your name and title for the record?
- 20 Witness Yeah. Witness Assistant Director,
- 21 Protective Operations.
- HSGAC Majority I'll now ask, Witness if your counsel
- 23 can introduce themselves?
- MR. Witness Counsel My name is PII I work for the
- 25 law firm of **PI** Strategies.



- 1 MR. Agency Counsel H-U-S-E,
- 2 Secret Service Chief Counsel.
- MR. Agency Counsel Agent Attorney for the
- 4 Office of the Chief Counsel of the United States Secret
- 5 Service.
- 6 HSGAC Majority This office, this interview is being
- 7 conducted by four offices, and we will now introduce staff
- 8 for each of the offices. My name, again, HSGAC Majority I'm
- 9 the Chief Counsel and Chief Investigative Counsel for the
- 10 Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee,
- 11 Majority staff. And I'm joined by my colleague, HSGAC Majority
- 12 HSGAC Majority Deputy General Counsel for the Majority Staff.
- HSGAC Minority Afternoon. My name is HSGAC Minority
- 14 HSGAC Minority joined by my colleague HSGAC Minority and then my
- 15 colleague William Hendeson.
- PSI Majority My name is PSI Majority I am
- 17 Senior Counsel with PSI Chair, Richard Blumenthal.
- PSI Minority Good afternoon. PSI Minority I'm
- 19 with Ranking Member Office on the Permanent Subcommittee on
- 20 Investigations. I'm the Deputy Staff Director. I'm joined
- 21 by PSI Minority the Staff Director.
- HSGAC Majority During today's interview, the reporter will
- 23 create a verbatim record of what we discuss. With that in
- 24 mind, as I'm sure you're familiar, please respond to
- 25 questions verbally because the reporter will not be able to



- 1 record nonverbal responses. Do you understand?
- Witness Yes, I do.
- 3 HSGAC Majority This interview is going to be unclassified.
- 4 So, if any question during today's interview calls for
- 5 information that you know to implicate classified
- 6 information, please state for the record the implication as
- 7 well as the reason you understand the information to be
- 8 classified. Once you have clarified to the extent
- 9 possible, please respond with as much unclassified
- 10 information as you are able to provide in this setting. If
- 11 a need arises to have a classified session in one form or
- 12 another, we will arrange for that to happen at a later
- 13 date. Do you understand?
- Witness Yes, I do.
- 15 HSGAC Majority It is the committee's practice to honor
- 16 valid common law privileges and claims as an accommodation
- to a witness when those claims are made in good faith and
- 18 accompanied by sufficient explanation so that the committee
- 19 can evaluate the claim. When deciding, however, whether to
- 20 honor the privilege, the committee will weigh its need for
- 21 the information against legitimate basis for withholding
- 22 it. The interview today is occurring without prejudice to
- 23 any future discussions of the committee, and we reserve the
- 24 right to request your participation at a later date, should
- 25 we need to do so.



- 1 If you need to take a break at any time other than
- 2 breaks, we will plan at the end of each hour. Please let
- 3 us know and we'll be happy to accommodate. If you have any
- 4 questions about what we're asking, or if a question we ask
- 5 is compound or confusing, please state so, and we will be
- 6 happy to clarify the question. You are required to answer
- 7 questions before Congress truthfully. Do you understand?
- Witness Yes, I do.
- 9 HSGAC Majority This also applies to questions posed by
- 10 congressional staff in interviews. And, specifically, 18
- 11 U.S.C. Section 1001 makes it a crime to make any materially
- 12 false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or
- 13 representations in the course of a congressional
- 14 investigation. And this statute applies to your statements
- in today's interview. Do you understand?
- Witness Yes, I do.
- 17 HSGAC Majority Is there any reason that you are not able
- 18 to provide us today with any with truthful answers to any
- 19 questions?
- Witness No, there isn't.
- HSGAC Majority We would ask that you not speak about what
- 22 we discuss in this interview with anyone outside of who is
- in the room today in order to preserve the integrity of our
- 24 investigation. And we would also ask that you not remove
- or make copies of photographs or exhibits today that you'll



- 1 be shown. Do you understand?
- Witness Yes, sir.
- 3 HSGAC Majority We'll provide your counsel with a copy of
- 4 the transcript and an errata expeditiously following this
- 5 interview, and we'll make those arrangements to provide
- 6 that electronically. Before we begin, do you or your
- 7 counsel have any questions, or statements, and actually
- 8 offer -- sorry, at this moment, I'll just ask if you have
- 9 any questions about what I've gone over.
- 10 Witness I don't have any questions, sir.
- HSGAC Majority And at this moment, I'll turn it over to
- 12 counsel.
- MR. Agency Counsel Good afternoon. The Secret Service and the
- 14 Department of Homeland Security have made available to the
- 15 committee, consistent with requests from the chairman,
- information and records that would not be publicly
- 17 released. This includes information and records covered
- under the Privacy Act, personnel, and other personal
- 19 privacy information, For Official Use Only intelligence,
- 20 and law enforcement-sensitive records and raw intelligence
- 21 information.
- While the Secret Service and the Department of
- 23 Homeland Security have made this information and these
- 24 records available to the committee, we continue to assert
- 25 that such information, any disclosure of such information,



- 1 is not intended for public disclosure. The Secret Service
- 2 and the Department of Homeland Security are not waiving any
- 3 protections.
- 4 And for the purposes of administrative efficiency and
- 5 to promote constructive dialogue during this transcribed
- 6 interview, we are making this assertion at the outset of
- 7 the interview to preserve all assertions of protection from
- 8 public release or disclosure over those records, or
- 9 information or discussions of same during the transcribed
- 10 interview. The transcript and any attachments are
- 11 protected from dissemination to the same extent as the
- 12 documents and information they are based on.
- 13 Please consult with the Secret Service and the U.S.
- 14 Department of Homeland Security prior to any public release
- or disclosure. The Secret Service and the Department have
- 16 not consented to, and were assured there would not be any
- 17 audio or video recordings of this interview, save the court
- 18 reporters recording. The agencies understand that the
- 19 interview will be transcribed by a court reporter. Thank
- 20 you.
- 21 HSGAC Majority And our understanding as well is that there
- 22 will be no recordings outside of those made by the court
- 23 reporter today. With respect to any information provided
- 24 by the Secret Service, the Senate will be bound only by
- 25 Senate rules and will not enter into agreements beyond



- 1 those rules. The committee does intend to issue a public
- 2 report based on its investigation, and that public report
- 3 will rely on information both learned from the Secret
- 4 Service productions, as well as the interview today.
- As is the committee's practice, the committee will
- 6 provide in advance of its public report, relevant excerpts
- 7 that it intends to rely on from the information learned
- 8 today and in its investigation in advance of publication of
- 9 that report. With respect to the documents themselves that
- 10 have been provided in redacted form and the accommodations
- 11 that remains a continuing discussion between the committee
- 12 and the Secret Service, the committee sent a letter on July
- 13 11th raising these concerns to the Acting Director of the
- 14 Secret Service, Acting Director Rowe.
- That same day, the Department of Homeland Security
- responded, making its own assertions, and this matter
- 17 remains unresolved on September 12 . The members
- 18 themselves raised these concerns again to Acting Director
- 19 Rowe himself.
- With that, we will begin the interview. And before we
- 21 do begin with our questions, I will ask Witness if there
- 22 are any statements you would like to begin with.
- 23 MR. Witness Counsel Can I just make one -- can I ask one
- 24 question for you?
- 25 HSGAC Majority Go ahead.



- MR. Witness Counsel With regard to the transcript and the
- 2 errata, is that something that the witness or I can keep a
- 3 copy of, or does it need to be returned?
- 4 HSGAC Majority You will not be able to keep a copy because
- 5 it will remain a record of the committee, and it will be
- 6 provided to you in an electronic reading room. So, you'll
- 7 have access to that during the duration of your review.
- 8 And then if there are corrections to be made, you can send
- 9 to us.
- 10 MR. Witness Counsel Understood. Thank you.
- Witness Good afternoon, everyone. Appreciate the
- 12 opportunity to speak here today. I have worked for the
- 13 Secret Service since 1997. My current portfolio is the
- 14 Assistant Director over the Office of Protective
- 15 Operations. It is a large portfolio national and
- 16 transnational in scope at times. I manage a chain of
- 17 command. There are three deputy assistant directors, and a
- 18 deputy chief under me, and then the chief of the Uniformed
- 19 Division.
- The 11 protective divisions fall under this chain of
- 21 the Secret Service. One of those protective divisions is
- the Uniformed Division, which is an approximately 1,400-
- 23 person uniformed police department. They are responsible
- 24 for the White House, the Vice President's residence, the
- 25 888 diplomatic locations here in Washington, DC. Then



- 1 there are the remaining protective divisions.
- Obviously, you're familiar with the President's
- detail, the Donald Trump detail, the Vice President's
- 4 detail. The other former details that fall underneath
- 5 that. They are split amongst the three deputy assistant
- 6 directors. They each have a portfolio. The deputy chief,
- 7 you know, manages the budgetary side of the House along
- 8 with a special projects team and deals with administrative
- 9 taskings that support the directorate.
- There is always a robust tempo. It's a 24/7, 365-
- 11 mission. We had approximately over 5,000 protective visits
- 12 last year. Equates to approximately 400 trips a month,
- 13 approximately 100 protective visits a week. And I guess we
- 14 could do the math and say 30 protective visits a day.
- 15 Obviously, what happened at Butler was a tragedy. Tragedy.
- 16 We're all working diligently to ensure solutions for the
- 17 future. And I appreciate the time today.
- 18 PSI Majority Thank you again for coming in today,
- 19 Witness I'd like to just start high level, discuss your
- 20 background. Where did you go to school?
- Witness As far as college?
- PSI Majority College. Yeah.
- 23 Witness Yeah. I went to Providence College.
- 24 PSI Majority And then when you get out, did you go
- 25 to either military or law enforcement from there?



- 1 Witness Briefly worked in the investment banking
- 2 arena shortly after college, and then got on with the
- 3 Secret Service as a Uniformed Division officer.
- 4 PSI Majority That's an interesting -- so, because I
- 5 know that Secret Service has dual role, did you ever spend
- 6 any time in the investigative arm?
- 7 Witness Yes. Well, started off as a Uniformed
- 8 Division officer spent some time as a Uniformed Division
- 9 officer, then applied to be an agent. Started off in the
- 10 New York Field Office. And when you're in your first phase
- of your career, you start in a field office, and then you
- 12 will work protection and investigations as well.
- PSI Majority And what, I guess, encouraged you to
- 14 make a move to the Secret Service out of investment
- 15 banking?
- Witness Well, I actually interned with the Secret
- 17 Service in college. It was always an aspiration of mine to
- 18 join the Secret Service since I was probably a kid, and it
- 19 was always something I wanted to do.
- 20 **PSI Majority** So, you said you worked in district
- 21 office. Could you just kind of detail your various roles
- 22 to where you're at today? I'm sure there's been many,
- 23 because as I understand, you've been there since you were
- 24 23 --
- Witness Yeah.



- PSI Majority -- 24. But, just, if you could give
- 2 us summary of the different roles you've worked on your way
- 3 up?
- 4 Witness Sure. Do you want me to just work through
- 5 it?
- 6 **PSI Majority** Yeah.
- 7 Witness Okay. After the New York Field Office, I
- 8 went to the President's detail. I was assigned to the
- 9 President's detail for about five years.
- PSI Majority Which President?
- Witness President Bush.
- PSI Majority Okay.
- 13 Witness After the President's detail, then I went
- 14 to headquarters. Went to the Criminal Investigative
- 15 Division, was there for a couple years. Then after that,
- 16 was promoted into management. At that time, went into
- 17 something called the Administrative Operations Division.
- 18 Spent some time there. And then after that, did a lateral
- 19 assignment at the managerial level back to the Criminal
- 20 Investigative Division.
- 21 Then after that, I went to the Dignitary Protective
- 22 Division, which is a different protective division. I was
- 23 a deputy coordinator for the Democratic National Convention
- 24 between 2015 and 2016. After that, went back to the
- 25 Criminal Investigative Division, spent some time there,



- 1 raised through a couple of the ranks, ultimately becoming
- 2 the special agent in charge of that division.
- In about February of 2000, end of February of 2020, at
- 4 this point, went and became the special agent in charge of
- 5 the Dignitary Protective Division. The Dignitary
- 6 Protective Division runs the campaign major events,
- 7 National Special Security Events. Did that through
- 8 probably May of 2021. Then, went to the Office of
- 9 Protective Operations as a deputy assistant director, and
- 10 then ultimately, was appointed as the assistant director at
- 11 the end of October of 2022.
- PSI Majority And that's your current role?
- Witness Yes, sir.
- 14 PSI Majority Okay. So, is it correct that you lead
- 15 the Office of Protective Operations?
- Witness Yes.
- 17 PSI Majority -- as of today, not necessarily -- and
- 18 just high level, it sounds like you've done a lot of things
- 19 for the Secret Service. But OPO, can you just, high level,
- 20 what does OPO do?
- 21 Witness At a high level, I mean, the Office of
- 22 Protective Operations plans, instruments, implements,
- directs policies, programs, and directives for the 11
- 24 protective divisions that fall underneath its purview. It
- 25 has a special project section that'll take on taskings and



- 1 interface cross directorate with the other directorates
- within the organization. There's, obviously, the staffing
- 3 component to the sustainment of the details. It'll provide
- 4 budgetary support and guidance to the protective details,
- 5 things of that nature.
- 6 PSI Majority So, it sounds like a pretty central
- 7 part of the organization. What is its role in approving or
- 8 denying assets or resources for protective events?
- 9 Witness Well, as the assistant director of the
- 10 Office of Protective Operations, obviously, I'm not
- involved in the, you know, day-to-day decisions of
- 12 approving and denying assets. And I would note the advance
- 13 process is something that's taking place on the ground with
- 14 those respective advance teams making those decisions in
- 15 regards to their requirements. And ultimately, where it
- 16 comes back to headquarters is there will be supplementation
- of personnel required, temporary assignment of personnel,
- 18 and special teams' resources to some of those respective
- 19 advance teams.
- 20 PSI Majority Because a number of the agents we've
- 21 had the opportunity to talk to, you know, we'll ask them --
- you know, they'll mention a concern that they had, or maybe
- they wanted a certain unit or a certain piece of equipment.
- 24 And we'll ask, who did you make that -- resort that request
- 25 to? And it seems like it works up the chain through



- 1 different organizations. So, at what point would it reach
- 2 the OPO? I understand you may not look at it personally,
- 3 but at what point does that reach the office of OPO, and
- 4 somebody looks at that request and makes a decision on it?
- Witness Well, the Staffing and Logistics Office,
- 6 and the Office of Protective Operations, their focus really
- 7 is post standards and the Uniformed Division magnetometer
- 8 report. These officers that provide the magnetometer
- 9 report at these sites. You know, as far as our specialized
- 10 teams' resources, those requests will come into the War
- 11 Room. The War Room will facilitate those requests with the
- 12 respective Special Operations Division or section in
- 13 regards to those particular assets. And then based on
- 14 their feedback and input in regards to availability,
- they'll communicate that back to the Staffing and Logistics
- 16 Office, and back to that respective detail's operations
- 17 desk.
- 18 PSI Majority And when you say War Room, just as
- 19 somebody's new to a lot of this, does each event have a War
- 20 Room? Is that something inside of OPO?
- 21 Witness The War Room is a moniker that probably
- 22 adds more gratis to the standing of the Staffing and
- 23 Logistics Office than it should have. It's a shared
- 24 partnership between the Office of Protective Operations and
- 25 the Office of Investigations.



- 1 And I should have probably noted earlier, it may sound
- 2 a little counterintuitive, but the Office of Protective
- 3 Operations it's a shared responsibility. It's supported by
- 4 the Office of Investigations, the Strategic Intelligence
- 5 and Information Directorate, the Office of Technology
- 6 Development, because obviously, OPO doesn't have ownership
- 7 over all the assets and all the personnel that ultimately
- 8 support the protective operation.
- 9 And we have a network of field offices that fall under
- 10 the Office of Investigations. But, again, that could be
- 11 misleading because, again, that network of field offices is
- 12 there to support our protective operations as we
- 13 geographically travel around nationally or transnationally
- in regards to our protective operations.
- PSI Majority And so, what is your role at OPO,
- 16 generally? I mean, I understand you're the director. But
- just functionally, what does that look like, I guess,
- 18 standard week?
- Witness Well, my focus is constantly changing as
- 20 the nature of protection is very kinetic, and it is
- 21 constantly changing. I mean, as I mentioned, there are 888
- 22 diplomatic locations. I can't focus on all of them at
- once. But there are times, you know, during the year,
- 24 we've certainly had some challenges at the -- for obvious
- 25 reasons, at the Israeli Embassy and, you know, my focus may



- 1 be there. There could -- we've had six National Special
- 2 Security Events, which are a whole-of-government events
- 3 which require a higher level of involvement in regards to
- 4 the planning and resources required. So, naturally, I'll
- 5 be more involved in something like that.
- When there are foreign trips by the President, there's
- 7 a longer lead-up time to those kinds of trips. And there
- 8 will be a higher level of support footprint required. And
- 9 obviously, those are the kind of things that I would be
- 10 involved in. And my focus changes based on the evolving
- 11 nature of protection on a day-to-day basis.
- PSI Majority And does in your role overseeing those
- 13 different events of different levels of -- because you
- 14 mentioned something, what was it, 30 a day, something like
- 15 that, protective events?
- Witness Approximately.
- 17 PSI Majority You know, I'm assuming some are higher
- 18 priority than others. How many of those are you brought
- into to consult on or to make -- how many do people direct
- 20 your attention to?
- Witness Well, look, the advanced process is
- 22 something that's going to be taking place on the ground.
- 23 You have a special agent in charge of the detail. You have
- 24 a special agent in charge if you're going to a respective
- 25 field office location. As that advance process takes



- 1 place, you're going to have a lead advance working in
- 2 collaboration and coordination with the field office
- 3 management in regards to the development of their security
- 4 plan.
- I have a portfolio with that as issues rise, if
- 6 something was to rise that my occasion, that would be an
- 7 anomaly. Ultimately, under that portfolio, DAD may bring
- 8 something to my attention. However, you know, an assistant
- 9 director or a deputy assistant director should not be
- 10 armchair quarterbacking the advanced process from, you
- 11 know, 1,000 miles away because that could have disastrous
- 12 consequences as well.
- 13 PSI Majority Do request for resources ever come
- 14 across your desk? Does it ever work its way up to you?
- Witness Typically, request for resources are
- 16 facilitated through the Staffing and Logistics Office. We
- 17 have SMEs in that room that evaluate those resources. A
- 18 lot of it is just finding efficiencies, you know, not
- denying a resource. And again, I don't like the term of
- 20 "denying a resource". Again, I view it as --
- 21 [Technical Issues.]
- 22 PSI Majority I think I'd asked a question about how
- often requests for resources come across your desk? So,
- 24 you said that you have a subject matter expert who works in
- 25 an office to consider different subject matter -- different



- 1 requests. But I mean, I don't know, I saw on these forms
- 2 that some events there's was a form, I think for fighter
- 3 jetter support. You know, I think there's like all kinds
- 4 of things that can be -- and are there any high-profile
- 5 requests that don't get resolved lower down that go to you?
- Witness Well, to answer your question, let me just
- 7 back up a little bit and provide this context. Keep in
- 8 mind like this -- you know, as I said, there's over 5,000
- 9 trips a year. If I was in the weeds on every trip, it
- would just not be possible. I do not have the bandwidth
- 11 for that. And the nature of my position, or my position of
- 12 the DAD is not to be involved in these trip requests,
- 13 protective visits that are coming in to the Staffing and
- 14 Logistics Office on a daily basis, 24/7, 365. So, I would
- 15 say very few, to answer your question.
- 16 PSI Majority And what typically arises to that
- 17 level?
- Witness So, just to clarify, you mean what rises
- 19 to the level of the assistant director --
- PSI Majority Yes.
- 21 Witness -- or the level of Logistics and Planning
- 22 Office?
- PSI Majority Well, my understanding was every
- 24 request goes the Logistics and Planning Office, but maybe
- 25 I'm wrong about that. Do things get filtered out before



- 1 they get to the Logistics and Planning Office?
- Witness Ultimately, those requests, if there's a -
- 3 those requests are going to be generated by the advance
- 4 team on the ground. If I could give you a garden variety
- 5 example of something that would be categorized as something
- 6 that wouldn't get approved. For example, if there was a
- 7 request for counter snipers support, but the arrival was an
- 8 underground arrival, so there were no line-of-sight issues,
- 9 that clearly just would be not a practical application of
- 10 that asset. And that would be an example. And that would
- 11 be a decision that would be made in the War Room and, you
- 12 know, not rise to my occasion.
- And again, also, keep in mind a lot of the staffing
- 14 and logistics offices' function is to find efficiencies.
- 15 Not necessarily approve and deny, but find efficiencies in
- 16 regards to the use of human capital. There could be a site
- where you have the ability to leapfrog, let's say, what we
- 18 use the term is leapfrog. You have three sites. And if
- 19 you have the ability, based on the itinerary or the timing
- of the schedule, to leapfrog those post standards where the
- 21 first post standards that you're using at the first site,
- once that site concludes, have the ability to jump to the
- last site. And then therefore, you can reduce the number
- 24 in aggregate and find efficiencies like that.
- PSI Majority Real quick. Can we pause? I'm



- 1 getting cooked. Is there a way to close this?
- 2 [Pause.]
- 3 PSI Majority I guess the question becomes -- so
- 4 that's logistics and planning. I guess the question was,
- 5 when does it rise above logistics and planning and require
- 6 like a higher level of review where you or maybe somebody
- 7 who works for you would get their eyes on it?
- Witness Well, I think it would have to be a non-
- 9 traditional request. For example, over the past year, and
- 10 you could say this is a resource request, due to the
- 11 heightened civil disturbance activity that we've seen down
- 12 at the White House. Typically, previously, we would not
- 13 shut down Pennsylvania Avenue with anti-scale fencing.
- 14 That was something based on discussion with my team and the
- 15 Uniformed Division members was an asset that I wanted to be
- 16 utilized moving forward. And it was kind of a paradigm
- 17 shift in how we've dealt with CDU activity and civil
- 18 disturbance type activity down at the White House,
- 19 previously.
- Obviously, there's a funding and cost associated with
- 21 that. So, a discussion had to be made if that was a
- thoughtful and deliberate decision, and that involved a
- 23 higher level of management in regards to that.
- PSI Majority Got it. What is your last day working
- 25 for the Secret Service?



- Witness What's that?
- PSI Majority What is your last day working for the
- 3 Secret Service?
- 4 Witness I suppose technically tomorrow, but.
- 5 **PSI Majority** Tomorrow?
- 6 Witness Yeah.
- 7 PSI Majority And when did you first consider
- 8 retiring?
- 9 PSI Majority Well, I've been on the job for almost
- 10 27 years. I'm glad you asked me that question because it
- 11 seems to have garnered a lot of attention lately. I did
- 12 not go back in a time capsule and create my employee on-
- entrance date of 9/15/97. I promised my wife I wouldn't do
- 14 another UN General Assembly which is at the end of
- 15 September. As I said, this is a 24/7, 365 role.
- As I mentioned earlier, I've been very passionate
- 17 about the Secret Service. It was something I wanted to do
- 18 since I was a young kid. I lobbied to get an intern
- 19 program established when I was in college, which didn't
- 20 exist, and interned with the Secret Service when I was in
- 21 college. And so, I've always been very passionate about
- 22 it.
- But, you know, I also have to understand the toll it's
- taken on my wife and kids, and made an agreement with my
- wife that I wouldn't go past my anniversary date.



- 1 PSI Majority One thing I forgot to ask you. How
- 2 many people report to you?
- Witness In aggregate?
- 4 PSI Majority In aggregate.
- Witness Well, there's approximately 1,100 special
- 6 agents, about 280 administrator, professional, and
- 7 technical personnel, approximately over 200. They call
- 8 them TLE, Technical Law Enforcement positions. But
- 9 basically, these are limited law enforcement positions that
- 10 are in support roles that do resident security, or maybe
- 11 they just drive, or something of that nature. Division may
- 12 be a little bit higher, but approximately, you could say,
- 13 1,400 in total people in the Uniformed Division.
- PSI Majority And who do you report to?
- 15 Witness I report -- well, it was Deputy Director
- 16 Ronald Rowe. Now, it's Acting Deputy Director
- 17 PII
- 18 PSI Majority So, are you one level to two levels
- down from Acting Director Rowe now?
- Witness Yes.
- 21 PSI Majority When did you learn about the attempted
- 22 assassination?
- Witness When did I learn about it?
- PSI Majority Mm-hmm.
- 25 Witness I believe -- well, I was out at the RNC



- doing walkthroughs with my team. A good example to your
- 2 earlier question of things where I may have more direct
- 3 involvement in -- that day I was out at the RNC doing
- 4 walkthroughs of all the sites with my team. I either was
- 5 still walking around with them or had just gotten back to
- 6 my hotel. I can't recall exactly. But I received -- my
- 7 deputy assistant director whose portfolio the Donald Trump
- 8 detail falls under called me. She had just received a call
- 9 a couple minutes after this incident happened from the
- 10 deputy special agent in charge of the detail. And
- 11 contemporaneously, I received a call from Deputy Director
- Rowe around that time. So, that was probably 6:18, 6:20
- maybe around there.
- 14 Then, shortly after that our -- what we call our POC
- 15 alerts, our Protective Intelligence Operations Center
- 16 alerts, that provide notifications and information. That
- 17 flow of information started. And at that point I told my
- deputy assistant director, "Okay, well, let's meet in the
- 19 lobby of the hotel and we're going to go back to the
- 20 coordinating center. When you have a National Special
- 21 Security Event like the RNC or the DNC, you have a
- 22 coordinating center established, which is essentially the
- office space where everybody works out of. And we went to
- 24 the coordinating center.
- 25 PSI Majority So, essentially, you found out about



- 1 it almost immediately after?
- Witness Yes, that's correct.
- 3 PSI Majority Okay. And have you spoken to the
- 4 internal review that the Secret Service is conducting on
- 5 the matter?
- 6 MR. Witness Counsel Sorry, just to clarify. When you say
- 7 the internal review, is that the Mission Assurance Review?
- 8 PSI Majority The Mission Assurance.
- 9 MR. Witness Counsel Thanks.
- 10 Witness Yes. I've spoken to the Mission Assurance
- 11 team that's conducting the investigation.
- PSI Majority And you said that Deputy Director
- 13 Rowe, at the time, called you to speak about it. Did you
- 14 quys speak about it in the subsequent days and weeks to
- 15 kind of try to figure out what went wrong?
- Witness Well, yes. He was back in Washington, DC,
- 17 at that time, but I believe the following day he flew out.
- 18 But yes, we were on the phone. Well, at that point, we
- 19 were all communicating. The executive leadership team was
- 20 communicating, I would say, in constant communication
- 21 regarding the matter and trying to ingest information and
- 22 address the matter at hand.
- 23 PSI Majority And was he concerned about what had
- 24 happened?
- Witness What's that?



- PSI Majority Was he concerned? Was he concerned
- 2 about what had happened?
- Witness Yes, obviously, he was. We were all
- 4 extremely concerned and upset.
- 5 PSI Majority What date did you send your
- 6 resignation in?
- 7 Witness What date did I -- I gave notice to the
- 8 deputy director in August. I had a conversation with him
- 9 about it, and let him know probably five, six weeks in
- 10 advance.
- 11 PSI Majority Well, because I guess you'd said you
- 12 considered retiring before that date. My dad is in his
- 13 late 60s and he's been considering retiring for -- every
- 14 year he tells us next year. Had you considered resigning
- 15 before this date?
- 16 Witness Had I considered resigning?
- 17 **PSI Majority** Well, or retiring?
- 18 Witness Retiring. I stayed on two years after my
- 19 retirement. As I indicated earlier, I kind of projected my
- 20 anniversary date as my hard stop because knowing my
- 21 personality, I probably would've kept going. So, I kind of
- 22 used that as a benchmark.
- 23 PSI Majority Did anybody encourage you to retire
- 24 earlier?
- Witness No. In fact, I'm glad I'm in this



- 1 setting. I have an opportunity to address that as well.
- 2 No one encouraged me to retire. I think the deputy
- 3 director was surprised, but I don't think -- but no, to
- 4 answer your question. Nobody encouraged me to retire.
- 5 This was my decision. I said this was something that I
- 6 made a promise to the wife a long time ago, and it was
- 7 time.
- 8 PSI Majority So, did July 13th have any impact one
- 9 way or another on your decision?
- 10 Witness No. In fact, as a result of July 13th, I
- 11 stayed a little bit longer than I intended for an orderly
- 12 transition.
- PSI Majority When did you first hear about the July
- 14 13th Butler rally? Did you know about it before the event?
- 15 Witness Yeah. I was aware there was a rally
- 16 coming up.
- PSI Majority And when did you first learn about it?
- Witness Well, we have a -- I don't recall exactly
- when I learned about it, but we have a protective daily
- 20 operations seat that has, you know, a list of projected
- 21 visits. And I was aware based on, you know, conversations
- 22 with my DAD that we had an outdoor rally coming up.
- 23 PSI Majority And were any of the requests for
- 24 resources, assets, or personnel? Were you ever aware of
- 25 any of the requests made for that rally?



- MR. Witness Counsel Sorry, for the inquiry? The timeframe?
- Were you aware --
- PSI Majority In the days, weeks preceding the
- 4 rally.
- 5 MR. Witness Counsel Before the rally. Okay.
- 6 Witness Can you repeat question?
- 7 PSI Majority Were you ever made aware of the
- 8 requests that were made for the rally; for equipment
- 9 personnel, anything, that was made by the advance team?
- 10 Witness Prior to the visit, no. I was not aware
- of the individual requests that were being made by the
- 12 advance team.
- PSI Majority So, for instance, there was counter
- 14 sniper support. Were you made aware of that there would be
- counter sniper support the day of the rally, prior to the
- 16 rally?
- 17 Witness In regards to the counter sniper support,
- 18 that was something earlier that week, I and my other DDS
- 19 had a conversation about. Two of my DADs, one whose
- 20 particular portfolio the Donald Trump detail falls under,
- 21 the other one, the Special Operations Division falls under.
- 22 And we had a conversation where we felt moving forward, we
- 23 wanted to authorize our CS support for this visit and
- 24 outdoor rallies going forward.
- 25 PSI Majority And why did you make that choice?



- 1 Witness Based on generalized reporting at the
- 2 time, and due to the fact that we felt these outdoor
- 3 rallies for a former President were something that we've
- 4 never seen a former President do in size, scale, and scope.
- 5 On the cusp of being the nominee, it made sense to
- 6 authorize our own CS, counter sniper resource, which is
- 7 uncharacteristic due to the finite nature of the amount of
- 8 counter snipers that we have.
- 9 MR. Witness Counsel And just to comply with the request that
- 10 HSGAC Majority gave at the beginning, the -- sorry, can you hear
- 11 me now? All right. Just to comply with the request that
- 12 HSGAC Majority gave at the beginning, the question starts to
- touch on the areas that Witness has to remain cognizant
- 14 of regarding confidential and classified information. And
- 15 I'm just flagging it because you asked this to identify
- 16 that location.
- 17 PSI Majority Can you tell -- you mean the prior
- 18 answer was classified, or?
- 19 MR. Witness Counsel No, no, I apologize. The inquiry. The
- 20 question asked about -- I think it was why did you make
- 21 that decision? And he said based on certain information.
- 22 If you want to go further than the certain information, I
- just want to flag that this is one of the topic areas that
- 24 HSGAC Majority asked us to identify that if there's going to be
- 25 classified information discussed. For instance, if you



- 1 said what was that information? I just want to make --
- 2 because if he has to do that.
- PSI Majority So, my understanding, just to clarify,
- 4 is you're saying the unprecedented nature of the rallies
- 5 generalized reporting, which I assume is a reference to the
- 6 reporting about Iranian threat, although maybe that might
- 7 be an assumption, and there's another body that's
- 8 classified that we would need to go.
- 9 MR. Witness Counsel No. I'm not providing an answer for the
- 10 witness, to be clear. What I'm saying is that the
- 11 generalized reporting that the witness is referring to
- 12 includes certain information that might start to get into
- 13 the warning that HSGAC Majority gave. I'm only doing it because
- 14 you asked us to identify in advance.
- 15 HSGAC Majority I'll ask a clarifying question and then we
- 16 can follow-up in another setting if need be. The first
- 17 clarifying question, did any of the information you relied
- on to make this decision involve publicly available
- information, including public reporting in the news?
- Witness Well, let me answer that question this
- 21 way. I think some of this reporting at this point is now
- in the news. However, based in aggregate on the
- 23 information that we were seeing at the time, I will say
- 24 this, we were not in possession of any specific adverse
- 25 intelligence to Butler. However, again, I guess, I would



- 1 rely on intelligence informs operations, but it doesn't
- 2 necessarily dictate operations. And based on the totality
- 3 of circumstances, we felt at this point being as close as
- 4 he was to being, which we assumed, the nominee, that this
- 5 was a prudent decision.
- 6 HSGAC Majority Two more clarifying questions then I'll
- 7 turn it over. With respect to information you may or may
- 8 not have relied on that involves intelligence, will
- 9 questions related to that intelligence implicate classified
- information that you cannot discuss in this setting?
- 11 MR. Agency Counsel Forgive me. And I think you did just
- 12 clarify it. In the Secret Service there is law
- 13 enforcement-sensitive, non-classified intelligence. I
- 14 assumed from your question you're referring to classified
- 15 intelligence.
- 16 HSGAC Majority Correct.
- 17 MR. Agency Counsel Thank you.
- 18 Witness So, can you repeat your question again?
- 19 HSGAC Majority Did any of the -- to the extent you relied
- 20 on information implicating intelligence, was that
- 21 intelligence information that is classified and that cannot
- 22 be discussed in this setting?
- Witness Yes. It would be classified, and it
- 24 couldn't be discussed in this setting.
- 25 HSGAC Majority Last clarifying question, and then I'll



- 1 turn it over. In prior testimony, including by the lead
- 2 advance agent for the Butler rally, that agent has told the
- 3 committee that she was notified on July 9th that based on
- 4 credible intelligence, counter sniper teams from the Secret
- 5 Service would be provided. Is the question I have asked
- 6 directly related to the information that was relayed to the
- 7 lead agent on July 9th, to the extent you know the answer
- 8 to that question?
- 9 Witness I don't have personal knowledge of what
- was relayed to the lead advance agent. So, I don't have an
- 11 answer to that question.
- 12 HSGAC Majority Okay. Back to you.
- 13 PSI Majority Okay. So, you to discuss the counter
- 14 sniper support before the rally. Were there any other
- 15 requests, you know, drone support surveillance, any other
- 16 requests, or resources, or assets that you were involved in
- 17 discussing prior to the rally?
- 18 Witness In regards to other resources related to
- 19 the rally, no. Those were not discussed with me in regards
- 20 to other resources for the rally.
- 21 PSI Majority And did you ever have communication
- 22 with the campaign to discuss the rally?
- 23 Witness Can you clarify when you say the campaign?
- PSI Majority The Trump campaign, the organization?
- 25 Witness Yeah. I've never -- I don't interact with



- 1 the Trump campaign. That would be something that the
- detail and the SAIC, Special Agent in Charge, and the
- 3 Donald Trump detail operations desk, they interact with
- 4 their staff. The Trip Tic Director would likely feed them,
- 5 you know, pending trips information, stuff of that nature.
- 6 That's how that works in the Office of Protective
- 7 Operations. We're not interacting with the campaign staff.
- 8 PSI Majority Okay. One last question, then I'll
- 9 turn to my colleague. I think one of the things that we've
- 10 been trying to keep track of during this process is
- 11 understanding who is responsible for what. And it seems
- 12 like a number of times we've asked agents; who is
- 13 responsible for that perimeter? Who's responsible for that
- 14 line of sight? Who's responsible for making sure this
- machine is operational? And they'll say, well, we looked
- 16 at it and we sent it to an office, and they sent it to an
- 17 office. And then it went here, and then it went there.
- And so maybe you can help us understand a big picture
- 19 who -- and for instance, let's just use the Butler rally
- 20 because that's what we're most familiar with. Who is the
- 21 person responsible? Is there a quarterback to this rally?
- 22 Is there a head coach? And it's like, that's the person
- that has the final sign off. Because we've heard, you
- 24 know, it's a consensus, but it's just been a little bit
- 25 hard to track who the final signature is on these things.



- Witness Well, during the advance process, the lead
- 2 advance in the field office, supervisor, or his proxy, is
- 3 responsible for developing the security plan during the
- 4 advance week that is memorialized in the manual. The
- 5 manpower for the visit, staffing for the visit, is
- 6 typically due two days, two business days prior to the
- 7 actual visit. The field management and the lead advance
- 8 are there all week. They're interfacing with their local
- 9 police counterparts.
- 10 As I stated earlier, the reason why we have a network
- of field offices is -- and it does sound counterintuitive,
- 12 but that advance team, regardless if it is Butler or not,
- 13 will typically be staffed by the field office personnel, in
- 14 particular the lead advance. But it's that advance team
- 15 that comes up with the security plan and creates the
- 16 security plan for that visit.
- PSI Majority
- 18 HSGAC Majority A few follow-up questions. And just for
- 19 timing purposes, we'll break in about 10 to 15 minutes. On
- 20 the date of the rally, July 13th, in Butler, am I correct
- 21 you were in Milwaukee?
- Witness Yes, sir. That's correct.
- 23 HSGAC Majority And our understanding is that the Secret
- 24 Service first became aware of and began planning for the
- 25 July 13th rally as early as July 3rd. Is that something



- 1 you're aware of?
- 2 Witness My understanding, from what I've seen
- 3 after the fact, I believe the field office was notified of
- 4 a visit coming to Pittsburgh. The visit was confirmed, to
- 5 my knowledge, on the 5th as being the Butler Farm Show.
- 6 That's what I recollect.
- 7 HSGAC Majority So, you personally became aware of the July
- 8 13th rally plan on July 5th. Is that correct?
- 9 Witness No. I didn't become personally aware of
- 10 it. That was after the fact --
- 11 HSGAC Majority I see.
- 12 Witness -- after I looked at some documentation,
- HSGAC Majority So, not referring to any after-the-fact
- 14 recollections you may have, as you sit here now, are you
- able to say if you were aware of the July 13th rally
- 16 happening in Butler any time before the 13th? And if so,
- 17 when were you first aware?
- 18 MR. Witness Counsel Sorry. Just to clarify, the first part
- of the question was if he can confirm, as he sits there,
- 20 whether he knew about the rally prior to --
- 21 HSGAC Majority Yes.
- 22 MR. Witness Counsel -- July 13th or 5th?
- HSGAC Majority 13th.
- MR. Witness Counsel Okay.
- Witness Well, I must have been aware of it prior



- 1 to the 9th. As I mentioned, we had our CS resource travel
- 2 up the 9th.
- 3 HSGAC Majority So, to the best, you're able to recollect
- 4 now, as early as July 9th or immediately prior to the July
- 5 9th would've been the first date you're able to say you
- 6 were aware of the Butler rally plan for the 13th. Is that
- 7 correct?
- Witness Yeah. Approximately, somewhere in the
- 9 first week of July.
- 10 HSGAC Majority And then, given your testimony earlier
- 11 about the number of events that are happening in a given
- 12 year, and broken down in a given day and week, are you
- aware now, thinking back to that period of time between
- July 3rd and July 13th, in that 10-day period; one, are you
- aware of approximately how many different events would have
- 16 been occurring during that 10-day period? And if so, can
- 17 you share how many?
- Witness I couldn't speak with off the top of my
- 19 head with granularity how many specific events were
- 20 happening during that time period. I will note that was an
- 21 extremely busy time period for us. We had the NATO Summit
- 22 taking place in DC which was a National Special Security
- 23 Event right on the heels of rolling into the RNC. So,
- 24 obviously, there was a lot of intention and focus dealing
- 25 with the NATO Summit that was taking place in DC. I'm sure



- 1 you saw also the lay down of our perimeter and its impact
- 2 to the city during that week. So, it was a busy time.
- 3 HSGAC Majority We're becoming familiar with the paperwork
- 4 involved in each event. My understanding is that this
- 5 paperwork is common and for the events you've described
- 6 would've occurred there as well. So, I'm not asking with
- 7 the assumption that you should have been aware, but just
- 8 from my understanding to be clear about the Butler event in
- 9 particular, given the context you've just shared of all the
- 10 other events going on, and not after the fact.
- But during the time period in question, prior to July
- 12 13th, were you aware of, and had you reviewed one of the
- 13 pieces of paperwork for the Butler rally, the Technical
- 14 Security Division Outdoor Site Survey?
- Witness No. Again, as the AD, typically I'm not
- 16 reviewing the paperwork of the particular advances. And I
- 17 did not review that document, no.
- 18 HSGAC Majority And same question, specific to Butler.
- 19 Prior to July 13th, were you aware of and did you ever
- 20 review the preliminary survey?
- 21 Witness The preliminary survey? No. That
- 22 preliminary survey is something that's created by the lead
- 23 advance agent. It is approved by the local field office
- 24 supervisor, and reviewed, and approved by that respective
- 25 Donald Trump detail operations desk.



- 1 HSGAC Majority Then I want to ask a specific set of
- 2 questions about a number of resources we have learned about
- 3 to understand whether like the counter sniper team that
- 4 ever rose to your level of discussion. The first is, and
- 5 again, only with respect to the period of July 13th and
- 6 prior, not any subsequent recollection you may have prior
- 7 to July 13th, were you ever made aware of any discussions
- 8 about the utility of or need for a counter surveillance
- 9 unit for the Butler rally?
- 10 Witness No. That was not discussed with me.
- 11 HSGAC Majority And same question with respect to a CAT
- 12 liaison.
- 13 Witness At that time, the Donald Trump detail had
- 14 counterassault assigned to it already. But no, a CAT LNO,
- 15 no, that was not brought to my attention.
- 16 HSGAC Majority And same question with respect to
- 17 additional magnetometers to be used at the Butler rally.
- 18 Witness Additional magnetometers to be used prior?
- 19 No, I was not -- that was not brought to my attention.
- 20 HSGAC Majority Last question in this line, any additional
- 21 requests for Secret Service personnel
- 22 Witness Can you qualify that?
- HSGAC Majority So, prior to the July 13th rally, were any
- 24 requests for U.S. Secret Service personnel to be used at
- 25 the July 13th rally made that rose up to your level?



- 1 Witness And again, that's a decision-making
- 2 process that should take place during the advance process.
- 3 No one from the Staffing and Logistics Office brought to my
- 4 attention nor from the Donald Trump detail that there was a
- 5 lack of personnel resources. My DAD whose portfolio that
- 6 falls under did not have that kind of interaction or
- 7 conversation with anybody in regards to resources.
- 8 HSGAC Majority And last question for me, and I'll turn to
- 9 my colleague. With respect to the decision made about
- 10 counter sniper support, who ultimately made the decision to
- 11 authorize that support? Is that you or somebody else?
- Witness Well, based on the discussion that I had
- 13 collectively with two of my deputy assistant directors, we
- 14 had a conversation, felt it was the right decision to make
- 15 and a logical decision to make. And it was a collective
- 16 agreement, and that was how the decision took place.
- 17 HSGAC Majority And who communicated that decision from the
- 18 group you just described, and who did they communicate it
- 19 to?
- Witness My understanding is the deputy assistant
- 21 director that has the Special Operations Division under him
- 22 communicated with the SAIC of the Special Operations
- 23 Division and said, you know, moving forward we're going to
- leverage our own CS for these outdoor rallies.
- 25 HSGAC Majority And who are those two people, just for our



- 1 understanding? What are the names?
- 2 Witness Deputy Assistant Director Dep. Asst. Dir Protective Ops , and
- 3 Special Agent in Charge, ASAIC Special Ops. And that's, again,
- 4 my understanding of how the message was passed.
- 5 HSGAC Majority And if you don't know, that's fine. But
- 6 to the extent you know, who then communicated that
- 7 information to the Secret Service officials involved. And
- 8 I know there are many in the Butler preparations. And when
- 9 was that decision communicated?
- Witness Well, I don't have personal knowledge of
- 11 that, but -- I don't have personal knowledge of how that
- 12 was communicated.
- 13 **HSGAC Majority** Hi. Just a couple of quick follow-up
- 14 questions. This is **HSGAC Majority** with Senator Peters as
- 15 well. Just to one more question on the counter sniper
- 16 support authorization. And again, understanding, you know,
- 17 I'm not asking you to talk about the specific intelligence,
- 18 but you said you had this conversation with two of your
- 19 deputy assistant directors.
- Who first raised the either idea or request for
- 21 counter snipper support? I guess that first question would
- be, was it something that was requested and then discussed,
- or was the idea of sending counter snipers something that
- 24 was generated amongst the three of you at this discussion?
- 25 Witness Yeah. I understand your question, and I'm



- 1 not trying to split hairs on the question. I think just
- 2 collectively, all three of us agreed that this was the
- 3 right decision to make. So, we're -- I guess you could say
- 4 we had a unity of thought on the decision.
- 5 HSGAC Majority And so just to be clear, there wasn't
- 6 any -- and I may be misremembering, but I thought I
- 7 recalled that we had heard in a previous interview that a
- 8 request had been made either by somebody in the Donald
- 9 Trump detail or elsewhere affirmatively for counter sniper
- 10 support. Is that inaccurate?
- 11 MR. Witness Counsel Just for clarification, are you asking
- 12 if there was a singular way that this was done? Because I
- 13 believe what you're getting into is the discussion that
- 14 Witness had separate from a request that was made.
- 15 HSGAC Majority So, I just don't understand if there was
- 16 a request that precipitated that discussion, or if the
- 17 decision, the idea to send counter snipers was generated in
- 18 discussion of whatever this -- the information that we're
- 19 not able to discuss in this setting is.
- 20 MR. Witness Counsel Can I just have one minute to chat with
- 21 my client, briefly?
- 22 [Pause.]
- 23 Witness Okay. I understand your question better.
- 24 I guess this is the way I would answer, it would be this,
- we had unanimous stance, myself, and my two DDs, that we



- 1 wanted counter sniper support authorized for this event. I
- think there was a contemporaneous request that came into
- 3 the Staffing and Logistics Office where we had -- were
- 4 already in agreement that it would be authorized. And when
- 5 that request was received by the Staffing and Logistics
- 6 Office, it was authorized.
- 7 So, it may not have been communicated directly to the
- 8 detail that it was authorized. But my recollection is
- 9 earlier on in that week, as I just stated earlier in my
- 10 remarks, Dep. Asst. Dir. Protective Ops had had a conversation with the SAIC
- of the Special Operations Division, who I believe had a
- 12 conversation with the counter sniper leadership and
- informed them of this change. Because this was an
- 14 uncharacteristic asset to be utilized for a candidate for
- 15 the -- in regards to this fashion.
- 16 HSGAC Majority All right. Thank you for that. I think
- 17 I understand the distinction you're making --
- 18 MR. Witness Counsel And can I have one moment --
- 19 HSGAC Majority Yes.
- 20 MR. Witness Counsel -- to clarify that question so I
- 21 understand. Just to make sure I understand it, was part of
- 22 your question inquiring if Witness was aware at the time
- that a request was made by the Donald Trump detail for CS
- 24 because he has that knowledge, as he sits here. But I just
- 25 want him to clarify if that's part of your question.



- 1 HSGAC Majority Yes, yes.
- 2 MR. Witness Counsel Okay. So, the question, just so I can
- say it to be clear, is at the time of July, I believe,
- 4 said the 5th through the 13th, at that time, did he
- 5 ever become aware that a request for CS had been
- 6 specifically made by the Donald Trump detail? Did that
- 7 ever come to his desk?
- 8 HSGAC Majority Yes.
- 9 Witness Yeah. I did not have knowledge during
- that timeframe of them making the request for the counter
- 11 sniper support. However, regardless, I wanted the counter
- 12 sniper support to be offered for the Donald Trump detail,
- 13 and ultimately, wanted my chain to pass that message. So,
- 14 it might have been two ships passing in the night at the
- 15 same time in regards to the request.
- 16 HSGAC Majority Okay. Understood. Thank you for the
- 17 clarification. And apologizing, you may have said this
- 18 before, but do you, to the best of your recollection, when
- 19 did the discussion that you had about authorizing counter
- 20 snipers occur? Do you know if it -- because I know the
- 21 counters snippers were authorized on the 9th. Do you know
- 22 if it was the same day, or a day or two prior?
- Witness I don't recall, specifically, but I
- 24 believe, again, had a conversation with the DDs. They had
- to have passed that information prior to the 9th, because



- 1 my understanding was the SAIC of the Special Operations
- 2 Division was notified somewhere around the 5th, so.
- 3 HSGAC Majority Got it. And do you recall if either
- 4 before or after that meeting you exchanged any emails with
- 5 the DDs or others about the counter sniper authorization?
- 6 Witness No. There was not an exchange of emails.
- 7 It was a verbal conversation with two people.
- 8 HSGAC Majority And just jumping to something else,
- 9 briefly. Our understanding is that -- and I'm forgetting
- 10 the exact timeline in front of me, that some months earlier
- 11 I think maybe May, it was that counterassault resources
- 12 began to be routinely provided to events for former
- 13 President Trump. Is that your understanding?
- 14 Witness Yes. We authorized Counter Assault for
- 15 him the beginning of April, which is typically something
- 16 that would not be authorized that earlier in the calendar
- 17 year. So, we made that change.
- 18 HSGAC Majority And I think you answered my next
- 19 questions, which were whether you, your office, or you
- 20 directly had a role in approving that, and if so, what the
- 21 rationale was for that authorization?
- Witness Well, the rationale for that authorization
- is obviously we've never had a former President run for the
- office, President. We've had a heightened posture on him,
- 25 really, you could say, since he came out of office. And



- 1 this was just part of that incremental progression of
- 2 posturing assets for him in regards to the fact that he was
- 3 -- you know, had a higher profile than of obviously an
- 4 average former President would have in what we've seen with
- 5 other candidates.
- 6 HSGAC Majority Got it. And when that decision was
- 7 made, I'm assuming the decision was made in April, if it
- 8 was authorized in late April, was there any discussion at
- 9 that point about also providing counter snipers to his
- 10 events?
- Witness When we made the decision to authorize a
- 12 Counter Assault, did we contemplate counter snipers?
- 13 **HSGAC Majority** Or any other resources that weren't
- 14 typically provided to a former President at that point?
- 15 Witness I believe the date, and I hope I'm
- 16 correct, I think it was April 7th that we authorized the
- 17 Counter Assault. That's when they started being assigned.
- 18 But keep in mind, the assets afforded to him were higher,
- 19 at least in my experience with the Secret Service, than
- 20 we've seen with other candidates. I mean, I don't recall
- 21 another former President having the continuous presence of
- 22 **LES**
- 23 **ES** . And again, these were all these
- 24 steps taken to augment his assets and resources, which
- 25 typically would normally not be provided.



- 1 HSGAC Majority Okay. So, then did you say that there
- 2 was no discussion of counter snipers, that you can recall,
- 3 in April when the decision was made to send Counter Assault
- 4 Agents or operators?
- Witness No. Counter Snipers at that time were not
- 6 discussed.
- 7 HSGAC Majority Got it. And, again, jumping to --
- 8 MR. Witness Counsel We're just -- I'm trying to get
- 9 clarification. You're asking if on the specific date,
- 10 April 7th, that he used -- or the date of the meeting where
- 11 the counterassault team was discussed, if he remembers
- 12 during that meeting, if there was a discussion of --
- 13 HSGAC Majority I guess, I don't know if there's a
- 14 specific meeting in whatever discussion or process led to
- 15 the decision to authorize counterassault teams going
- 16 forward, if at any point during those conversation or
- 17 conversations, counter snipers were also raised.
- 18 Witness Okay. I understand better. No. To
- 19 answer your question in short, no.
- 20 HSGAC Majority Okay. Thank you very much. And then
- just jumping to one last question on something different
- 22 that you had mentioned earlier. I want to make sure I
- 23 heard you right about the preliminary survey. If I heard
- 24 you right, you said that the preliminary survey is a
- document that's approved by the local field office



- 1 supervisor and the detail. Is that correct?
- Witness The preliminary survey, which I assume
- 3 you've seen at this point, is essentially a document that
- 4 has the basic artifacts of the security plan. It's created
- 5 by the lead advance agent and should obviously get -- as it
- 6 encapsulates the security plan, is a work product that
- 7 should get reviewed and approved by the local field office
- 8 management. It's also a document, as I said, is reviewed
- 9 and approved by that respective detail's operations
- 10 section. Because this is the security planning document.
- Just, as I said, the biggest challenge we have, these
- 12 visits are all geographically displaced. That document is
- 13 the document that's going to be used for that respective
- 14 detail as a briefing document, and have the opportunity to
- 15 you know, essentially have the basic artifacts of the
- 16 advance, the visit itinerary, et cetera.
- 17 **HSGAC Majority** Got it. And just to be absolutely
- 18 clear. So, your understanding is that the local field
- office supervisor, which for the Butler rally would've been
- 20 the Pittsburgh Field Office, had a formal role in approving
- 21 the preliminary survey for that event?
- Witness The local field office management should
- 23 be reviewing the preliminary survey, and also the Donald
- 24 Trump detail operations decks is going to, you know, review
- 25 the preliminary survey as well.



- 1 HSGAC Majority And, you know, during your tenure at the
- 2 Secret Service, has that been your understanding? I guess
- 3 I'll explain the reason I'm asking this question. We'd
- 4 been told at prior interview that there had been a change
- 5 at some point in the sort of chain of review for the
- 6 preliminary survey. And that it no longer goes through the
- 7 field office, but instead goes -- that it used to go
- 8 through the field office and now it doesn't. Is that
- 9 something that you're familiar with?
- 10 Witness I'm not exactly sure what you're referring
- 11 to. Again, you know, back when I was doing this and the
- 12 preliminary survey would get printed out and reviewed by
- 13 the field office supervisor. Now, the preliminary survey
- 14 is created in a digital application as one would expect the
- 15 LES would streamlines the creation of
- 16 the preliminary survey.
- However, the field office supervisor is ultimately
- 18 responsible. Well, the field office supervisor and the
- 19 lead advance are conducting the advance all week, are
- 20 responsible for the security plan on the ground in whether
- 21 they're reviewing the preliminary survey as a mechanism to
- 22 do that, or reviewing the security plan based on their
- 23 walkthroughs and the advance process has responsibility
- 24 over the security plan.
- 25 HSGAC Majority Okay. Appreciate it. Thank you very



- 1 much. That's all I have. I think, we'll take a five-
- 2 minute break.
- 3 HSGAC Majority Okay. We'll go off the record.
- 4 [Recess.]
- 5 HSGAC Minority All right. We'll go ahead and go back
- 6 on the record. Thank you for being here. My name is
- 7 **HSGAC Minority** again. And thank you for your service
- 8 and for spending the last, I guess, one of the last days of
- 9 your time with the Service with us. We appreciate it.
- I just want to start by saying we're going to go
- 11 through a few things. I understand that I'm probably going
- 12 to get close to talking about some things that you can't
- 13 talk about. I just would ask that you are very clear, and
- 14 I might ask you some follow-up questions about, you know,
- 15 classification. And to the extent that you can answer
- 16 anything, please do.
- But I just wanted to start with, you said something
- 18 earlier. You used this as an example, you said when we
- 19 were talking about counter sniper support you used as an
- 20 example if an arrival was underground and there were no
- 21 line-of-sight concerns, how it might, I guess, not make
- 22 sense to approve counter sniper support for that. Is that
- 23 correct?
- Witness Yes, that's correct.
- 25 HSGAC Minority Okay. And I only asked that because I



- 1 think it's interesting, I'm sure you are very aware of Lew
- 2 Merletti actually recently wrote a book where he's quoted,
- 3 and he talks about how arrivals and departures are critical
- 4 times in terms of protection. Do you agree with that?
- 5 Witness I don't have the full context of it.
- 6 HSGAC Minority Just generally. He's, you know, -- and
- 7 I'm not trying to -- it is just, you know, he talks about
- 8 how arrivals and departures are critical periods in
- 9 protection, security. And so, I wanted to know when, in
- 10 terms of prior to this event or prior to determining to
- 11 provide anyone counter sniper support just across the
- 12 board, is that something that's considered when determining
- whether to provide counter sniper support?
- Witness Well, I think there are a variety of
- 15 factors that come in in regards to providing counter sniper
- 16 support. You know, mainly, is there a line of sight, and
- is there the ability to mitigate that line of sight?
- 18 Sometimes we have the ability to take that out of play,
- 19 excuse the, you know, parlance, but you know, out of play
- via utilizing box trucks, other physical infrastructure.
- 21 So, you can mitigate line of sight that way.
- It may not necessarily require the use of counter
- 23 sniper to mitigate line of sight. You know, I guess one
- 24 thing, maybe just to educate the group and use this as an
- opportunity to talk about our counter sniper resources in



- 1 general. These are very finite resources. Of course, I
- 2 wasn't hired in the Secret Service because I had a
- 3 photographic memory, but from what I recall, we have
- 4 LES , and then you have
- 5 probably a few more that are the supervisors.
- 6 So, going with that number, you know, to cover the
- 7 White House, it's **ES** If the **ES** is
- 8 contemporaneously **LES** , that's **LES** . If
- 9 we've got a trip that's out of town, and in and out, that's
- 10 another **ES** And then, if he has what we'd refer to as
- 11 a **LES**
- 12 **LES** your number could **LES** So, you very
- 13 quickly run out of staffing.
- In regards to our counter sniper unit, it's the only
- stand-alone counter sniper unit to my knowledge in the U.S.
- 16 government. And again, the challenge of being an elite
- 17 unit is you're an elite unit. It's a difficult unit to be
- in and recruit the right individual that make that
- 19 standard. So, these resources, I guess in my very long-
- 20 winded way, I'm trying to explain to you just as a point of
- 21 fact, they have to be used very judiciously in regards to
- 22 their application.
- HSGAC Minority No, that is very helpful to understand.
- 24 And we heard this the same, you know, about the numbers and
- 25 the limits of that resource. And so just it was a big deal



- 1 to determine that going forward. I guess, let me ask this
- 2 in a question form. When the determination was made to, I
- 3 think you said start providing counter sniper support going
- 4 forward for outdoor rallies, was that the decision, or?
- 5 Witness I think the assessment at that point were
- 6 for outdoor events. I don't know -- I can't say with
- 7 complete transparency if I categorized it as a rally or an
- 8 event, but I would think the same calculus would've applied
- 9 to an outdoor event even if it wasn't a rally because we
- 10 would have the same concerns.
- HSGAC Minority So, it wasn't, you know, 24/7 coverage
- 12 or coverage at, you know, residence. It was more an event,
- 13 or?
- 14 Witness For larger outdoor events. Again, this
- 15 was the next evolution in this overarching incremental,
- 16 continuous increase of assets for the former President.
- 17 And the first step was focused on outdoor events.
- 18 HSGAC Minority And I understand that this was kind of
- 19 first step, but given the limited resources you're working
- 20 with in terms of counter sniper support, was that
- 21 determination going to be based on available counter sniper
- 22 resources at the time? Is that how -- or was it kind of,
- like, we're going to do this, I mean?
- Witness Well, essentially, the director was we're
- 25 going to do this going forward. Did we have all the



- 1 answers as to how it was ultimately going to manifest
- 2 itself at that time in regards to competing manpower,
- 3 staffing requirements? We didn't have all those answers.
- 4 But we felt going forward, this was something that needed
- 5 to be done.
- 6 HSGAC Minority Okay. And I think you mentioned July
- 7 5th, SOD was aware --
- Witness To my knowledge, yes.
- 9 **HSGAC Minority** -- of this? Okay. I'm just going to
- 10 try to -- I'd just like to try to kind of pinpoint the
- 11 timing if we can. So, this was the first. So, July 13th
- 12 was the first event that he had counter sniper support as a
- 13 candidate.
- Witness Well, what we had done previously is we
- 15 would have oftentimes -- and I can't recall every visit off
- 16 the top of my head. I believe last year he had 308 visits,
- 17 but they would leverage a CAT LNO based on the nature of
- 18 the visit, a CAT liaison, and that CAT liaison would travel
- out as part of the advance team and partner with local and
- 20 state resources of comparable resources for that counter
- 21 sniper support.
- HSGAC Minority Okay. So, the CAT LNO also interacts
- 23 with potential counter sniper resource, local, if they're -
- 24 okay. But they didn't have a CAT LNO for this --
- Witness They did not.



- 1 HSGAC Minority -- for this one, but they had the
- 2 counter sniper --
- Witness The counter sniper advance.
- 4 HSGAC Minority Okay. And so, President -- or former
- 5 President Trump had a rally on July 9th in Doral, and it
- 6 was outdoor. So, just trying to pinpoint that timeline.
- 7 Did he have -- he did not have Secret Service counter
- 8 sniper support at the July 9th rally in Doral. Is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 Witness That that would be correct.
- 11 HSGAC Minority Okay. And is that just because of --
- 12 because it sounds like SOD was aware of it in July 5th.
- 13 So, is that just a resource issue, or? I'm trying to
- 14 understand kind of why July 13th was the first event where
- 15 he received counter sniper support.
- 16 Witness Yeah. The Butler rally was a larger
- 17 rally. And based on the information, we made that decision
- 18 you know, obviously during that snapshot in time, based on
- other competing trips. And I don't have personal knowledge
- of where every single counter sniper was and what was not
- 21 available. But that could have played into that decision.
- HSGAC Minority Okay. If we could go ahead, I'm going
- 23 to introduce Exhibit 1, which you already have a copy of
- 24 that. This is an unclassified Chairman of the Joint Chiefs
- of Staff instruction related to sharing of information. I



- don't know if I have another copy here. Didn't you have
- one? They'll get another copy. Have you seen this before?
- 3 Are you aware of this document?
- 4 [Exhibit No. 1 was
- 5 marked for identification.]
- 6 Witness I haven't seen this particular document.
- 7 **HSGAC Minority** Okay. Well, it relates to -- or it's
- 8 actually titled, "Department of Defense Information Sharing
- 9 with the U.S. Secret Service Concerning Protection of The
- 10 President of The United States and Other Officials."
- So, I was going to use this since it's unclassified to
- 12 kind of talk about information intelligence meetings that
- 13 are had and information that's shared. Again, if we get
- 14 close to anything that you can't talk about, let me know.
- 15 But I think, you know, there is a lot in here that is
- 16 unclassified. So, here, under the purpose, in this
- 17 document, says, "To identify responsibilities for reporting
- 18 all data and information to the Secret Service concerning
- 19 immediate, and present danger, or past --"
- 20 Witness Sorry, I apologize. What page? Just so
- 21 we --
- 22 **HSGAC Minority** The first page, I'm on the first page
- 23 under, "Purpose."
- Witness Thank you.
- 25 HSGAC Minority Yeah. Immediate and present danger,



- 1 past incidents bearing on the personal security of anyone
- 2 under Secret Service protection." So, I guess, you know,
- 3 from this document, it appears that DOD shares intelligence
- 4 with the Secret Service related to protectees. Is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 Witness Well, and the Secret Service, the
- 7 assistant director for the Strategic Intelligence and
- 8 Information Directorate, he's our Chief Intelligence
- 9 Officer. He is the recipient of all LES
- 10 Ultimately, he and his staff are the recipient of these
- 11 **LES** to a certain degree, vets the number of **LES**
- 12 because if we didn't have those services, I would basically
- 13 be -- he's on the **LES** , just **LES** all day
- 14 and not able to do anything else.
- So, essentially, that director, that's their function.
- 16 They're responsible for providing intelligence to, you
- 17 know, Secret Service, writ large, and bring us in to what
- 18 information is relevant that we need to be briefed on.
- 19 HSGAC Minority Okay. And so, they receive
- 20 intelligence, at least from DOD based on this. What other
- 21 agencies relay information to Secret Service regarding
- 22 protectees?
- Witness Well, we're a consumer of -- we're not a
- 24 member of the intelligence community. We're a consumer of
- 25 intelligence information. So, there are numerous agencies.



- 1 I can't speak specifically to the intelligence that we've
- 2 seen recently. You know, that would be a question better
- 3 answered by the assistant director for SII.
- 4 HSGAC Minority Okay. Does FBI relay intelligence to
- 5 the Secret Service?
- 6 Witness Yes. We have partnerships with the FBI,
- 7 yes.
- 8 HSGAC Minority Okay. And so that information comes in
- 9 to the Secret Service through the Strategic Intelligence
- 10 Office, and then they pass on that information to you and
- 11 your role. Is that correct?
- 12 Witness Yes, ma'am.
- 13 **HSGAC Minority** Yeah. And why is that? What is the
- 14 purpose of passing that information to you?
- 15 Witness That information is not just passed to me,
- but it's passed to me, members of my staff, certain members
- 17 on the Donald Trump detail are facilitated that
- information. And obviously, they're provided that
- 19 information for situational awareness in regards to
- 20 anything that could be of concern or relate to our
- 21 protectee.
- HSGAC Minority Okay. And when you say members of your
- 23 staff, I mean, I just -- and again, I'm not going to ask
- 24 you -- I don't know how widely is this information
- 25 disseminated? Is it a couple people, or is it, you know,



- 1 tens, hundreds? You have a lot of people that are part of
- 2 your staff. So, can you just give me a general, you know,
- 3 sense of how widely disseminated within your staff, that
- 4 kind of information would be?
- Witness Well, it's based on the nature and the
- 6 context of the information. And the AD of SII and his
- 7 staff determines the people that will be provided, reads to
- 8 the information. Sometimes the information is limited to a
- 9 LES . They're the custodians of that
- intelligence and manage that aspect of it. And then, when
- 11 I say my staff, deputies, deputy assistant directors
- 12 typically would receive some of those reads in regards to
- 13 that information. You know, for referring to Donald Trump
- 14 detail, the special agent in charge, and I believe his
- deputy special agent in charge would receive similar
- 16 briefings.
- 17 HSGAC Minority Okay. And so, would the Donald Trump
- detail always be read into any intelligence that is related
- 19 to him as a protectee that the Secret Service receives? Or
- 20 are there -- could there be situations where they are not
- 21 read in because of compartments or anything else?
- Witness To my knowledge, the briefings that
- 23 typically we were receiving, they were receiving is my
- 24 understanding. And to get direct confirmation of that
- 25 fact, you would need to ask the proper director.



- 1 **HSGAC Minority** Okay. And then is the director -- does
- 2 the director receive these briefings as well?
- 3 Witness She receives those briefings in a separate
- 4 form from me. I think it's -- but to my knowledge, yes,
- 5 she would receive those briefings.
- 6 HSGAC Minority To your knowledge, did she receive those
- 7 briefings about the Donald Trump detail?
- 8 Witness I can't specifically say what briefings
- 9 she received and didn't because I don't -- I did not do
- 10 intelligence briefings contemporaneously in the room with
- 11 her. It typically would be if we got a briefing, we would
- 12 go up with myself and another DAD.
- 13 **HSGAC Minority** Okay. And what about the deputy
- 14 director?
- 15 Witness Yeah. I would presume he should be
- 16 getting those briefings as well.
- 17 HSGAC Minority Okay. So, in the, I guess, weeks
- 18 leading up to July 13th, do you recall how many briefings
- 19 you received related to the protectee?
- 20 Witness I don't recall specifically. I did
- 21 receive some briefings related to the protectee.
- HSGAC Minority So, more than one?
- Witness Yes.
- HSGAC Minority Okay. More than 10?
- Witness No.



- 1 HSGAC Minority Okay. Can you share who briefed?
- Witness What's that?
- 3 HSGAC Minority Can you share who actually briefed you?
- 4 Witness Typically, when we would get called in for
- 5 a briefing, Assistant Director Asst. Dir. Intel and Info would be
- 6 present. I don't specifically recall the briefer, her or
- 7 himself, but oftentimes he would initiate the fact that
- 8 something was required to be briefed.
- 9 HSGAC Minority And what agency --
- MR. Witness Counsel I'm sorry, I have to ask. Did you say
- 11 what agency?
- 12 HSGAC Minority Yes.
- MR. Witness Counsel Do you mean what agency provided the
- information that they received or who did the briefing?
- HSGAC Minority Who did the briefing was my initial
- 16 question, but I will probably ask the second question as
- well.
- 18 Witness Yeah. I can't discuss what agency the
- 19 information was sourced from.
- 20 HSGAC Minority And just you can't discuss that because
- 21 that is classified. I want to just make sure that I
- 22 understand.
- Witness Right. I think due to the nature of the
- information, I'm unable to categorize, you know, the
- information in this setting regarding your question.



- 1 HSGAC Minority But again, I just want to clarify
- 2 because if it was law-enforcement sensitive, we could --
- 3 because we could in this setting. So, is that because it
- 4 is classified information, who had that information? The
- owner of the information, the fact that it's coming from
- 6 them that is classified?
- 7 Witness Yes. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 HSGAC Minority Okay. And then what about the briefings
- 9 that you received in the weeks leading up? Can you tell me
- what agencies were the ones briefing
- 11 Witness To to answer your question this way, the
- 12 information that was being provided to us was being briefed
- 13 by our own personnel **LES** that they were
- 14 receiving LES As far as
- the sourcing for that information, that would have to be
- 16 identified by the AD of SII. My interest is just in the
- 17 information from an operational standpoint, really not
- 18 where it's coming from per se. I just want the
- 19 information.
- HSGAC Minority Right. Okay. And so, were you briefed
- 21 by the FBI, or did you have any conversations by anyone
- 22 with the FBI in the weeks leading up to the July 13th
- 23 related to the protectee?
- MR. Witness Counsel Can I ask, when you say briefed, do you
- 25 mean a formal briefing?



- 1 HSGAC Minority No, or, you know, even a conversation as
- 2 well. Either, or?
- Witness No. I did not have any conversations with
- 4 the FBI leading up to the visit. And those conversations,
- 5 again, would've taken place -- the conduit for those
- 6 conversations in our agency would've been the director of
- 7 SII.
- 8 HSGAC Minority And do you know if he -- do you have
- 9 personal knowledge him having conversations with the FBI in
- 10 the weeks leading up?
- 11 Witness I don't have personal knowledge if he did
- 12 or not.
- 13 **HSGAC Minority** Okay. And then in the weeks leading up,
- 14 were you read into any compartments to receive information
- 15 about the protectee?
- 16 Witness I think in this setting I'm unable to
- 17 discuss that.
- 18 **HSGAC Minority** Okay. You said earlier that you didn't
- 19 have any -- in your role, you wouldn't have any
- 20 conversations with the Trump campaign officials, but would
- 21 you have conversations with the Trump detail?
- 22 Witness The deputy assistant director whose
- 23 portfolio the Donald Trump detail falls under, she's in
- 24 constant communication with the detail. My communication
- 25 with the detail was not as often as hers. Being direct



- 1 sometimes it would occur organically as far as she was on
- the phone with maybe a management representative from the
- detail and I would jump in on the conversation. But she
- 4 was, I would say, in continuous conversation with that
- 5 detail due to the nature of that detail.
- 6 HSGAC Minority And we talked a little bit earlier about
- 7 how you, along with certain, I think, assistant directors
- 8 made the decision that going forward, counter snipers would
- 9 be assigned for the Trump campaign or the detail. And then
- 10 contemporaneously, there may have been a request from the
- 11 Trump detail for the same assets that you had already
- 12 decided you were going to provide going forward. Do you
- 13 know if that request came in because they received the same
- 14 information that you received prior to making that
- determination to provide the counter snipers?
- Witness I don't have personal knowledge of how
- 17 that request was generated from the Donald Trump detail.
- 18 That could have been a request that just came from their
- operations desk, and they wouldn't have had purview to that
- information. So, I don't have personal knowledge.
- 21 HSGAC Minority And do you have knowledge of previous
- 22 requests by either the Trump detail or the Trump campaign
- 23 for Secret Service counter snipers previously?
- MR. Witness Counsel Can I ask this question? When you say,
- "do you have knowledge," do you mean prior to July 13th or



- 1 subsequent to July 13th?
- 2 HSGAC Minority At any time. I guess previous requests,
- 3 not the one that we're talking about for the July 13th
- 4 rally or any other requests ever made for Secret Service
- 5 counter sniper resources for the protectee.
- 6 Witness I don't have personal knowledge of them
- 7 requesting Secret Service counter sniper support. However,
- 8 typically, that asset was met through state and local
- 9 resources.
- 10 **HSGAC Minority** Okay. We talked a little bit about the
- 11 preliminary survey and the intelligence section of that
- 12 preliminary survey for the Butler event. It stated, "At
- this time, no adverse intelligence has been developed
- 14 concerning this visit. Any adverse intelligence that may
- 15 arise will be passed to all the working supervisors." Is
- 16 that accurate, based on your knowledge?
- 17 Witness I don't have personal knowledge of the
- 18 protective intelligence advance that was done. You know,
- when a protective intelligence advance is conducted, there
- 20 are factors that come into play. LES
- 21 LES Are there LES that
- 22 are some examples that could be applicable? I don't have
- 23 personal knowledge during that protective intelligence
- 24 advance if those facts revealed themselves.
- 25 HSGAC Minority So, I guess, when we're talking about



- 1 intelligence, I mean, we've been talking about intelligence
- 2 and threat information. Is that not what -- so what is the
- 3 protective intelligence privy to, or are they supposed to
- 4 be looking at all threats? Are they supposed to only be
- 5 looking at local threats? I guess, can you clarify a
- 6 little bit?
- Witness Well, what I can speak to is when the
- 8 protective intelligence advance was conducted, I don't have
- 9 personal knowledge of what they were able to determine and
- 10 not determine. I don't have any personal knowledge of any
- 11 specific adverse intelligence related to the Butler visit.
- 12 HSGAC Minority And the protectee?
- MR. Witness Counsel Do you mean, is he aware of specific
- 14 adverse intelligence related to the protectee?
- HSGAC Minority Mm-hmm.
- Witness And can you ask your question again?
- 17 HSGAC Minority So, you said that you weren't aware of
- 18 any intelligence related to the Butler event. Were you
- 19 aware of intelligence related to the protectee, adverse
- 20 intelligence?
- Witness Well, I think we would start to enter the
- 22 classified realm. So, I can't discuss that in this
- 23 setting. I'll make note, any intelligence picture is not a
- 24 binary descriptor of what to do next in the intelligence
- 25 arena. So, which is why I tried to lash back to it was



- 1 never flagged for my personal attention, nor did anybody
- 2 from the director of SII flag for my attention or my
- 3 staff's attention that there was specific adverse
- 4 intelligence to the Butler visit that we should be
- 5 concerned about.
- 6 HSGAC Minority And so, when the protective intelligence
- 7 advance is conducted and they're making their assessment,
- 8 are they only looking at adverse intelligence, or they only
- 9 supposed to consider adverse intelligence related to the
- 10 event, or are they also supposed to be including in their
- 11 assessment adverse intelligence related to the protectee?
- Witness Well, the protective intelligence advance
- is something that is going to be conducted in coordination
- 14 with and in collaboration with the Director of Strategic
- 15 Intelligence Information. I think that would be a question
- 16 that would be best answered by that directorate.
- 17 HSGAC Minority Okay. And is it that directorate that
- 18 would determine whether or not any adverse intelligence is
- 19 passed on to the working supervisors of the event, or the
- 20 field office where the event or the protectee is going to
- 21 be?
- Witness Essentially, to answer your question, they
- 23 would have to make that determination because we are not
- owners of the intelligence. We are consumers of the
- 25 intelligence. And the ability for the distro of that



- 1 intelligence is a decision that is going to take place
- 2 between the AD of SII and whoever he's interfacing with.
- 3 That's not a decision that I make.
- 4 HSGAC Minority Okay. I think I'm done.
- 5 HSGAC Minority Okay. This is HSGAC Minority with
- 6 Senator Paul's office. First of all, thank you for your 27
- 7 years of service. I can understand why you would want to
- 8 go home. And it's good to talk to somebody that
- 9 understands leadership supervision. You've done this at a
- 10 high level. I think that you've learned that leadership is
- 11 difficult, but it's a voluntary position.
- Witness Yes, sir.
- 13 **HSGAC Minority** You chose to be in that position, and
- 14 that's commendable. Obviously, and listening to your
- 15 testimony, you've had a lot of experience in a lot of
- 16 different things, and I think that's great for us today
- 17 because, finally, maybe somebody's going to tell us who was
- 18 responsible for some specific failures. Because part of
- 19 your job as a leader, I would believe, and your oversight,
- is understanding who's responsible for what.
- Well, what we've heard, a lot of testimony, is a lot
- of people didn't understand clearly their roles, their
- 23 responsibilities, which in turn inhibits accountability.
- 24 So, maybe you can help us out today. I hope so. You said
- 25 earlier that in your position, you have to rely on a lot of



- 1 people doing their job well. And let's just specifically
- 2 talk about a protective mission because you had a lot to
- 3 do. Well, let's think of July 13th, 2024.
- 4 So, I'm going to ask you some very specific questions
- 5 that probably should get a very specific answer. Who
- 6 within the United States Secret Service is responsible for
- 7 the compromise of the AGR roof by Thomas Crooks? And I'm
- 8 going to give you a few to think about. Was it the site
- 9 agent, the lead agent, the ASAIC, the SAIC, or the counter
- 10 sniper lead? Who is responsible?
- And you know the answer, because you've done it. You
- 12 know, who's responsible to ensure that these
- 13 vulnerabilities are not exploited? That, as you said,
- 14 they're properly mitigated. So, it's a pretty
- 15 straightforward question. Who do you think should be
- 16 responsible for this failure?
- Witness Well, sir, I understand your frustration.
- 18 Obviously, I am upset about this. And this is why we're
- doing a comprehensive mission assurance investigation to
- 20 get the answers to those questions. And when we get the
- 21 answers to those questions based on that comprehensive
- investigation, those people that are at fault need to be
- 23 held accountable, and disciplined, or whatever the results
- of those facts are in regards to the advance and the issues
- 25 that took place that caused this tragedy, they need to be



- 1 held responsible based on that information.
- 2 HSGAC Minority Based on your experience as a
- 3 supervisor, field supervisor, if you were the field
- 4 supervisor in Pittsburgh, who was responsible for that?
- Witness Well, again, in regards to what has
- 6 occurred here, I think those facts need to be evaluated
- 7 properly in their aggregate and totality. And when they
- 8 are revealed, I hope we will have those answers, and we'll
- 9 be able to make those assessments. You know,
- unfortunately, I don't have the ability to do the
- investigation that comes under the Office of Inspections.
- 12 And I know they are diligently working to get us those
- 13 answers.
- 14 HSGAC Minority Well, I'll scratch question number two
- and three, because I think the answers are going to be the
- 16 same.
- 17 MR. Witness Counsel Can I ask one question?
- 18 HSGAC Minority Yes, sir.
- MR. Witness Counsel When you said, "in your experience," do
- 20 you mean or perhaps Witness can talk about in his
- 21 experience, who would typically be responsible for what
- you've described things like CS or walkthroughs. Is that
- 23 part of what your question is, or are you only --
- HSGAC Minority Yeah, I can restate it. Generally, not
- 25 specifically to the Butler rally. But if you were



- 1 assigning people to be a lead advance, site advance,
- 2 counter sniper lead, generally, who would be responsible to
- 3 make sure a line-of-sight issues are mitigated?
- Witness Well, there's a combination of factors
- 5 that come into developing a security plan. The lead
- 6 advance and field office management and/or proxy ultimately
- 7 are responsible for the design of the security plan and
- 8 leveraging those resources and assets during the advance
- 9 process. Defining roles and responsibilities. And the
- same principles should apply, protective methodologies,
- 11 should apply to every visit.
- We have three rings of protection of 360 coverage of
- 13 enter. It's mostly Secret Service middle, Secret Service
- 14 and police in our outer perimeter, starts to become more of
- our external partners. However, you do not outsource the
- 16 mission, for lack of better words. And that responsibility
- 17 falls ultimately on the Secret Service's shoulder to ensure
- 18 that proper coordination.
- 19 HSGAC Minority I appreciate that answer. Because I
- think that you're leading into exactly what our conclusions
- 21 are going to be, too. I want to pass something around and
- 22 introduce this as an exhibit. If we can pass it, if
- 23 anybody can give that to you. But when you get this just
- 24 turn to page 2 for me on the bottom.
- MR. Witness Counsel Sorry, can I just ask? Should we return



- 1 the Exhibit 1?
- 2 HSGAC Minority Yes.
- MR. Witness Counsel Okay. And then do we do we keep this at
- 4 -- or are we identifying this as Exhibit 2?
- 5 HSGAC Minority Exhibit 2, yes. Thank you. And for
- 6 ease of identification, I highlighted and put a little
- 7 sticker by it too, because it makes me --
- 8 [Exhibit No. 2 was
- 9 marked for identification.]
- 10 MR. Witness Counsel Can we just have --
- 11 HSGAC Minority Absolutely.
- MR. Witness Counsel -- one more? Thank you.
- 13 HSGAC Minority So, in reference to that one specific
- 14 section, meticulous advanced work, and threat assessments
- to identify potential risks to protectees." Knowing what
- 16 you know, and I know you've reviewed some of the documents
- 17 that took place in July 13th, 2024, would you consider that
- 18 meticulous advance work, in general?
- Witness We, as an agency, rely on our -- the
- 20 advance piece of our protective methodologies. That's why
- 21 some security services, you'll see a lot of the physical
- 22 staffing around the protectee. Because typically, their
- 23 advance work is not as comprehensive. That is not
- 24 something that you typically see with the Secret Service
- 25 because our protective methodologies are based on



- 1 mitigating risk through that advance process. So, the
- 2 advance process, to your point, is key to the success of a
- 3 safe visit.
- 4 HSGAC Minority So, would your answer state that that
- 5 was not meticulously done this day because it was an
- 6 obvious failure and probably multiple failures?
- 7 Witness I am not conducting the investigation, so
- 8 I don't have all the facts. But clearly, I don't have
- 9 personal knowledge of what the gaps were, but there were
- 10 some gaps during the advance process in regards to this
- 11 failure.
- 12 **HSGAC Minority** A couple more questions. I think they
- 13 had some questions they want to follow-up with because you
- 14 -- and I'm glad you mentioned line of sight mitigation
- because that's obviously a major failure here. And I think
- 16 when you look through your policies and procedures, it's
- 17 very clear who's responsible for that. Hence forth, the
- 18 name counter sniper. Their mission is to seek, and
- 19 understand, and point out areas where a sniper that they
- 20 would be countering would be able to exploit. Am I
- 21 correct?
- 22 Witness Yes. Their job is to provide long-range
- 23 threat detection and overwatch.
- HSGAC Minority And at the end of the day, we had
- 25 someone exploit the AGR. The only known human that



- 1 exploited the roof of the AGR building before 1811 hours
- 2 was Thomas Crooks. Am I correct?
- Witness Yes, sir. That's correct.
- 4 HSGAC Minority So, we would assume that that counter
- 5 sniper element failed and their ability to mitigate that
- 6 line of sight, and/or positioning of a sniper on the AGR
- 7 roof?
- Witness Well, sir, I don't want to give you a
- 9 layered answer because I know that's what you don't want to
- 10 hear. But the advanced team, and I'll use that word team,
- 11 each has a role in contributing to each member's success.
- 12 I don't have personal knowledge of every aspect of the
- 13 advance, and that's being flushed out in the investigation.
- But could the counter snipers have been set up for
- 15 success during the advance process if there was better
- 16 coordination on securing access to the roof of the building
- 17 that this individual unfortunately was able to gain high
- 18 ground on, which should have never occurred? And as a
- 19 result, the counter sniper team had to be utilized as a
- 20 last resource to mitigate that threat.
- 21 HSGAC Minority Which I'm glad you mentioned it because
- 22 it leads right into my next question. The failure that we
- 23 have heard of the Secret Service agents on the advance team
- 24 of either ignoring or not reviewing the local plans of
- operation, that's concerning to local officials because it



- 1 almost displays a bit of hubris that they did not feel that
- 2 their hard work and labor of putting together a plan that
- 3 they were going to operate on was not even reviewed by some
- 4 of your people doing it in advance. Is that concerning?
- 5 Witness To answer your question, there always
- 6 should be that pre-planning and pre-coordination process
- 7 with our state and local counterparts that's key to any
- 8 successful visit. I don't have personal knowledge if they
- 9 did not review these plans, but Secret Service
- 10 methodologies require us to partner with state and local
- 11 law enforcement, but you have to have that proper pre-
- 12 coordination and pre-planning is essential. So, I don't
- 13 have personal knowledge if they didn't review those
- operational plans. If they didn't, that is concerning.
- 15 **HSGAC Minority** I have a couple more that I'll ask
- 16 later, but thank you very much.
- 17 HSGAC Minority And one quick follow-up before I turn it
- 18 over. Regarding that policy that we -- Exhibit 1 that we
- 19 had before, does Secret Service have similar information-
- 20 sharing agreements with other agencies that you're aware
- 21 of?
- Witness Yes. We have established relationships
- with other agencies in regards to information-sharing.
- 24 Again, that would be better be addressed by the AD of SII.
- 25 HSGAC Minority And to your knowledge, do you know if



- 1 those are also memorialized in writing similar to the one
- 2 that you're looking at in Exhibit 1?
- Witness I don't have personal knowledge if they're
- 4 memorialized, but I would speculate they're memorialized in
- 5 writing.
- 6 HSGAC Minority Okay. And this is requiring -- so this
- 7 agreement is requiring Secret Service and DOD to share
- 8 information about protectees and threats. If you go to --
- 9 I think I labeled it protectees. So, under this policy, if
- 10 you look at G, "USSS protectees include the following
- 11 persons, G, major presidential and vice-presidential
- 12 candidates within 120 days of a general, Presidential
- 13 election, and the spouses of such candidates."
- So, if you go back, Election Day is November 5th. So,
- 15 119 days would've been July 9th, the same day actually that
- 16 counter snipers were assigned. This limitation that DOD is
- 17 only required to share information within 120 days of the
- 18 election, do you know if that has ever prevented Secret
- 19 Service from receiving intelligence or information outside
- 20 of that window?
- 21 Witness I have no personal knowledge if that's
- been an obstacle receiving information, but if it's a life
- 23 safety issue, I would think there would be a duty to warn
- or information would be passed.
- 25 **HSGAC Minority** So, you feel like, you know,



- 1 notwithstanding whatever's in here and time limits, does
- the Secret Service generally receive threat information on
- 3 a real time basis in a collaborative way from the agencies
- 4 that have the intelligence or the hold the intelligence?
- MR. May I jump in? I would encourage the
- 6 committee to evaluate whether this policy has a typo in it.
- 7 It tracks very closely with the language in 3056, which is
- 8 the Secret Service's originating statute. And I'm
- 9 apologize for speaking off-the-cuff here, but 3056,
- 10 subsection A, notes that the Secret Service's responsible
- 11 for protecting major presidential and vice-presidential
- 12 candidates, and within 120 days of a general election,
- 13 their spouses. So, just wanted to clarify that for the
- 14 record. Thank you.
- 15 **HSGAC Minority** Okay. So, the actual DOD policy has
- 16 that typo.
- MR. Agency Counsel I don't want comment or answer for the
- 18 witness, but I would just encourage folks to take a look at
- 19 that.
- HSGAC Minority Okay.
- 21 MR. Witness Counsel I'll just say --
- HSGAC Minority Okay. No, go ahead.
- MR. Witness Counsel I was just going to ask if there was a
- 24 question I didn't know if you were waiting for him to
- answer, so that's fine.



- 1 PSI Minority Assistant Director Witness my name is
- 2 PSI Minority I work for Ranking Member Ron Johnson.
- 3 Thank you for your time today.
- 4 Is it important for Secret Service personnel who are
- 5 part of the planning for security for an event with a
- 6 protectee to know whether or not there is adverse
- 7 intelligence or credible intelligence against the protectee
- 8 or the visit itself?
- 9 Witness You know, I think this applies to the
- other gentleman's question. Obviously, when we look at
- 11 this visit, it's upsetting, and regardless of the
- 12 intelligence, there were gaps --
- PSI Minority Well, sir, I'm asking just generally.
- 14 Is it important for the people planning these events to
- 15 know if there is adverse intelligence or credible
- intelligence related to a protectee or any event,
- 17 generally?
- Witness Well, intelligence informs operations. It
- 19 doesn't dictate operations. And we have a directorate that
- 20 is there to evaluate and disseminate intelligence they deem
- 21 appropriate to inform protective operations. Yes.
- 22 PSI Minority So, it is important for those planning
- 23 to know intelligence, whether it's incredible intelligence
- 24 or adverse intelligence, because it informs their planning
- 25 procedures, correct?



- 1 Witness Intelligence does inform operations, but
- 2 it shouldn't dictate basic principles in the planning
- 3 process.
- 4 PSI Minority And in what way does it inform
- 5 operations?
- 6 Witness If there is actionable intelligence or
- 7 specific intelligence towards a specific event, that might
- 8 be an opportunity to even have a conversation with the
- 9 staff to modify an itinerary or a venue. It may also offer
- 10 the opportunity to leverage different resources if
- 11 required. Things of that nature.
- PSI Minority Would one of those resources
- potentially be the use of counter snipers?
- 14 Witness It could be a variety of resources one of
- 15 which could be counter sniper.
- 16 PSI Minority Regarding the conversation that you
- 17 mentioned before, that we've previously talked about, where
- 18 you had the conversation about the application of the
- 19 counter sniper asset. Could you please just tell us again
- 20 who was part of that conversation with you?
- 21 Witness Sure. Deputy Assistant Director -- do you
- 22 want names?
- PSI Minority Yes, please, sir.
- 24 Witness Deputy Assistant Director Dept. Asst. Dir. Protective Ops
- and Dept. Asst. Dir. Dignitary Division



- PSI Minority And the date of that conversation?
- Witness Again, from the best that I can recall,
- 3 the conversation was in the first week of July. And I
- 4 believe it was in the first week of July, certainly prior
- 5 to the event.
- 6 PSI Minority And the decision reached with that
- 7 conversation was that counter snipers should be made
- 8 available to former President Trump's events. Is that
- 9 correct?
- Witness Yes, sir.
- PSI Minority Following that conversation did you
- 12 have any other conversations with those individuals about
- 13 counter snipers being included as part of former President
- 14 Trump's events?
- 15 Witness Did I have any follow-on conversations?
- PSI Minority Yes, sir. Regarding that specific
- 17 topic with those individuals?
- 18 MR. Witness Counsel Can I just ask, sorry, for the
- 19 timeframe, because he's obviously had discussions after
- July 13th about counter sniper. But do you mean from
- 21 whenever the conversation was up until July 13th?
- PSI Minority I appreciate the clarification. Yes,
- 23 sir.
- Witness At that point we had the conversation.
- 25 There was consensus it was going to be utilized moving



- 1 forward. So, I'm not sure what having additional dialogue
- on the same topic would yield. And, I mean, that
- 3 respectfully. I'm not questioning your question. I'm just
- 4 saying based on that conversation, we had established we
- 5 were going to utilize CS going forward for outdoor events.
- 6 And then at that point, as I said, I think I was
- 7 balancing the competing priorities of being in the middle
- 8 of the NATO Summit and then heading out from there,
- 9 following out from there and heading out to the RNC.
- 10 PSI Minority So, and just to be clear on the record,
- it sounds like, to the best of your recollection, the
- 12 answer's no. Is that correct?
- 13 Witness In regards to having additional follow-on
- 14 conversations?
- PSI Minority Yes, sir.
- 16 Witness Reauthorizing the authorization of --
- PSI Minority Well, about -- not reauthorizing, but
- 18 about the use of counter snipers at campaign events for
- 19 former President Trump between the period of when you
- initially had the conversation and July 13th.
- 21 Witness I don't recall having a secondary
- 22 conversation about the same topic. No. I mean, I think we
- tabled that topic and came to consensus on it, and that was
- 24 the consensus that we were going to utilize CS.
- 25 PSI Minority Did you have any conversations on this



- 1 topic with any other individuals besides the ones you
- 2 named?
- Witness I don't believe I had that conversation
- 4 with anyone else.
- 5 PSI Minority More specifically with Director Cheatle
- 6 at the time.
- Witness No. I didn't have a conversation with
- 8 Director Cheatle about it.
- 9 PSI Minority And Mr. Rowe?
- 10 Witness I don't know if I -- well, I didn't have a
- 11 conversation with him to ask his permission. I'm not sure
- if, ultimately, he was informed that this is what we were
- 13 going to do. So, I don't have an answer to that question.
- 14 I just know I had a conversation with my two DADs, and we
- 15 decided this is what we were going to do.
- PSI Minority And once the consensus was reached, who
- 17 did you communicate the consensus to?
- Witness Well, Dept. Asst. Dir. Protective Ops is
- 19 the DAD that has the Special Operations Division under his
- 20 portfolio. And as I mentioned earlier, he had a
- 21 conversation, I believe with, SAIC, Special Agent in
- 22 Charge, ASAIC Special Ops, who's over with the Special Operations
- 23 Division.
- 24 PSI Minority And when were you informed of that?
- 25 Witness Of the actual conversation taking place?



- 1 PSI Minority Of him communicating the consensus to
- 2 those individuals?
- Witness Well, I think when we had our initial
- 4 conversation, he said, okay, I'm going to have a
- 5 conversation with PI and let him know.
- 6 PSI Minority Okay. So, you assume that those
- 7 conversations occurred?
- Witness Yes.
- 9 PSI Minority Okay. One moment, please. Was this
- 10 consensus ever -- oh, I'm sorry. Do you need a moment?
- 11 MR. Witness Counsel Just one moment, if you don't mind.
- PSI Minority Sure.
- MR. Witness Counsel So, this is -- I'm just trying to
- 14 clarify your question. The timeframe of knowledge about
- 15 whether the information was communicated, the witness has
- 16 more information that he gleaned or that he's learned after
- 17 July 13th. Meaning, he became aware of how that
- information may have been passed from the people he spoke
- 19 with directly into the office. He acquired that
- 20 information after July 13th. Do you want him to talk about
- 21 that, or do you only want information acquired before July
- 22 13th?
- 23 PSI Minority Let's stick with before July 13th for
- 24 now, sir. And now, in this follow-up, I'll specify. In
- 25 the period before July 13th regarding your knowledge of



- 1 information communicated to other individuals regarding the
- 2 use of the counter sniper assets, was any of that
- 3 information put in writing?
- 4 Witness Was that information memorialized like in
- 5 an email? Not by me. I don't have personal knowledge if
- 6 Dep. Asst. Dir. Protective Ops did that in writing, but my understanding was a
- 7 conversation was going to take place.
- 8 PSI Minority And once consensus was reached, again
- 9 prior to July 13th, are you aware of any communication
- 10 about this consensus in writing?
- 11 Witness I have no personal knowledge of it being
- in writing in. I assume when it -- well, I don't want to
- 13 make a statement that's an assume, but I have no personal
- 14 knowledge of it being in writing, to answer your question.
- 15 PSI Minority In your position as the assistant
- 16 director, is it your understanding that when the
- 17 preliminary survey document that we've discussed earlier,
- is it your understanding that when that document is
- 19 submitted by the advance team, that the local field office
- 20 SAIC will approve that document?
- 21 Witness The local field office management is going
- 22 to get that -- will have visibility to that document from
- the lead advance agent and it should be reviewed. Again,
- 24 it is a document. The approval and oversight of the
- 25 security plan should be taking place during the advance,



- 1 during walkthroughs. A final walkthrough should be
- 2 conducted by the field office supervisor in regards to his
- 3 or her evaluation of the security plan.
- 4 PSI Minority And your understanding, sir, once the
- 5 field office and the advance team submits the documents
- 6 like the preliminary survey, what level -- who reviews it
- 7 next?
- 8 Witness Typically, someone from the field office
- 9 in their operation section will review the survey and
- 10 someone in DTD Operations is going to review the survey.
- 11 PSI Minority So, is it your expectation that before
- 12 we go to DTD Operations, that everyone in the local field
- office has reviewed the document prior to it being
- 14 submitted to DTD?
- 15 Witness I don't have personal knowledge of what
- 16 that particular field office did in regards to reviewing
- 17 this --
- 18 PSI Minority I'm just asking what your expectation
- 19 would be.
- 20 Witness Yes. The expectation would be someone
- 21 from supervisory management should be reviewing the
- 22 preliminary survey.
- PSI Minority Prior to that document being submitted
- 24 to DTD?
- Witness Yes.



- 1 PSI Minority Okay. Is it your understanding that a
- 2 Secret Service counter sniper shot and killed Mr. Crooks?
- Witness Yes, that's my understanding.
- 4 PSI Minority How did you come to that understanding
- 5 Witness That evening, at some point, we were
- 6 briefed that it was our counter sniper team that eliminated
- 7 the target.
- 8 **PSI Minority** Are you aware of any local law
- 9 enforcement firing at Mr. Crooks?
- 10 Witness I'm not aware of local law enforcement
- 11 firing at Mr. Crooks.
- 12 **PSI Minority** You have not seen any reports on that?
- Witness I have not seen a report. I'm not privy
- 14 to all the details of the investigation. I have not seen a
- 15 report.
- 16 PSI Minority Did you speak to the Secret Service
- 17 counter sniper that shot and killed crooks?
- Witness I briefly spoke to him just to check in
- 19 with him and see how he was doing. As a result of my
- 20 position, I don't want to have too detail-oriented of a
- 21 conversation with the employee, because then I can be
- 22 perceived as trying to steer or coerce ultimately whatever
- 23 statements he's going to make to our internal investigating
- 24 body.
- 25 **PSI Minority** And the name of the counter sniper who



- 1 shot and killed Mr. Crooks?
- Witness Hercules 1 C/S Hercules 1 C/S.
- 3 PSI Minority And when did you have the conversation
- 4 with Hercules 1 C/S
- 5 Witness I believe it was possibly the 25th.
- 6 **PSI Minority** Of July?
- 7 Witness Yes.
- 8 PSI Minority Was it a phone conversation or in
- 9 person?
- 10 Witness It was a phone conversation, and I wanted
- 11 to wait until I could -- again, the counter sniper unit
- 12 falls under the Uniformed Division. I wanted to wait till
- 13 his chief was present where I could have that conversation.
- 14 After the shooting, Hercules 1 C/S LES
- and other -- dealing with other challenges. So, I
- 16 wanted to have his chief be present where we could do the
- 17 call together.
- PSI Minority And who was his chief?
- 19 Witness Uniformed Div Chief. He's the chief of the
- 20 Uniformed Division. Uniformed Div Chief
- 21 PSI Minority Uniformed Div Chief Okay. Thank you. And
- 22 when you spoke to Hercules 1 C/S did you ask him any information
- about what happened, what he experienced?
- Witness No. I wanted it to intentionally be a
- 25 brief conversation. I just wanted to say to the effect,



- 1 you know, you're in our thoughts. That type of sentiment.
- 2 And I didn't really get into any kind of fact finding or
- 3 type of inquiry. That wasn't the point of the call. It
- 4 was more just to let them know we're thinking about him,
- 5 and you know, behind him.
- 6 PSI Minority Has anyone from DHS or Secret Service
- 7 instructed you not to speak with Congress?
- Witness No.
- 9 MR. Witness Counsel Sorry for the question. Did you say to
- 10 not speak with Congress or with Hercules 1 C/S
- 11 PSI Minority To Congress, sir?
- 12 Witness No. No one has instructed me not to speak
- 13 to Congress.
- 14 PSI Minority Thank you. At this point, I think
- we're at the hour mark. So, we'll go ahead and take a
- 16 five-minute break if that works for you, sir.
- 17 Witness Thank you.
- 18 [Recess.]
- 19 PSI Minority I think we'll go back on the record
- 20 now. PSI Minority again, from Ranking Member Ron
- Johnson's office. I just want to pick up on something that
- 22 I think one of my colleagues on Ranking Member Paul's staff
- 23 asked earlier regarding security failures on July 13th.
- 24 As you may know, sir, now Acting Director Rowe
- 25 testified in front of our committee on July 30th and said



- 1 that there were gaps in security that he identified and
- 2 that protection on that day failed. Those are his words.
- 3 Do you believe that there were gaps in security and that
- 4 protection failed on July 13th?
- Witness Yes. Obviously, there were gaps. There
- 6 was a failure. I'm upset that this is the place we're in.
- 7 I think if some basic principles had been adhered to, we
- 8 wouldn't be here as the other gentleman stated. And it's
- 9 infuriating.
- PSI Minority What were those gaps in security that
- 11 you referred to?
- Witness Well, again, I'm not conducting the
- investigation, and I don't have personal knowledge of all
- 14 aspects of the advance. But clearly, there were
- 15 communication gaps that day that led to this failure. And
- if those communication gaps had been mitigated, information
- 17 could have been passed in a more timely fashion that
- 18 would've avoided that failure.
- PSI Minority What specific communication gaps, sir?
- MR. Witness Counsel Sorry, can we have one moment?
- PSI Minority Sure.
- MR. Witness Counsel Just for clarification, what the witness
- is inquiring about is, are you asking his opinion, knowing
- 24 that as a preparatory part of the question. He's not doing
- 25 the investigation. He doesn't have the contents of the



- 1 investigation. You're asking for his opinion on those
- things based on the information he's learned after July
- 3 13th. Is that the basis of the question?
- 4 PSI Minority I'm asking for his opinion, or to the
- 5 extent that he has specific knowledge.
- 6 MR. Witness Counsel Got it. Okay.
- Witness Well, I did not conduct the advance. I
- 8 don't have firsthand knowledge, but based on information
- 9 I've learned after the fact and even one could almost
- observe from a third-party perspective. The communications
- 11 plan was, in my opinion, siloed. We had a security room
- 12 with a Secret Service supervisor, a member of the
- 13 Pennsylvania State Police, and then approximately 120 yards
- 14 to 300 yards away. You had a mobile command post with the
- various law enforcement agencies represented.
- So, I will speak in generalities to answer your
- 17 question. In law enforcement, one of the most basic
- 18 tenants is one has to be able to communicate. What
- 19 frustrates me, and maybe I'm dating myself, but I keep
- 20 hearing about technology, and this, and that, and the other
- thing, and/or some panacea radio-type system where, again,
- 22 if you just had a well-staffed, unified command post with
- law enforcement appropriately co-located for command and
- 24 control, and the ability to contemporaneously communicate
- 25 and pass information, we wouldn't have had -- or I'll say,



- 1 I don't have all the facts to the investigation, but you
- 2 won't have stovepipe communications that can cause failures
- 3 that we saw up at Butler as a result of communication being
- 4 delayed.
- 5 Witness This individual was walking around for an
- 6 hour, but somehow information seemed to be getting stove
- 7 piped. Sometimes in law enforcement, there isn't a script
- 8 for every play or every scenario you may encounter, but
- 9 just by sitting in that unified command post, "Oh, what did
- 10 you hear? There's a guy, so and so." And oftentimes
- 11 that's how information in reality is going to get passed.
- 12 Even if you had one radio communication frequency and
- everyone could speak on, it would be worthless because
- 14 everyone would be stepping on each other. And oftentimes
- in exigent situations, you can take their, you can't even
- 16 talk on the radio, but it's that lead up prior to something
- 17 becoming kinetic, which is essential in that command and
- 18 control.
- So, if the information is correct, which I don't have
- 20 firsthand knowledge of, but there was a Secret Service
- 21 security room with only a Secret Service supervisor and a
- 22 member of the Pennsylvania State Police. Why would you not
- 23 embed them in that unified mobile command post to have that
- 24 command and control? If there was an incident or something
- 25 percolating, establish that jurisdictional deconfliction



- 1 and address the issue.
- I mean, if I was to use an analogy; if there's any
- 3 football fans, if we have the coach calling in the plays to
- 4 the quarterback, and we have the defensive coordinator on
- 5 the same radio frequency, it's not going to work. So, I
- 6 don't really put much stock in the hole. There's been this
- 7 whole notion of radios and radio. You establish a unified
- 8 command so people can communicate and collaborate and reach
- 9 out to their respective entities.
- 10 PSI Minority Are you aware of what specific
- information was available in that security room on July
- 12 13th in the minutes leading up to the assassination
- 13 attempt?
- Witness Which security room?
- 15 PSI Minority The one with Secret Service.
- 16 Witness I don't have personal knowledge of what
- information was being passed. After the fact, there was
- 18 some communication that was passed to the security room
- 19 related to the individual.
- 20 **PSI Minority** And given what you just said regarding
- 21 the difficulties of passing information if between separate
- locations, what about within the same location within the
- 23 Secret Service security room? What is your understanding
- of those communication gaps?
- Witness Within the security room itself? Well, I



- 1 think you had a lack of representation of the respective
- 2 law enforcement agencies in that room. As I mentioned in
- 3 my previous dialogue, that would've facilitated a timely
- 4 passing of that communication to the affected entities.
- 5 PSI Minority Besides communication gaps as one of
- 6 the gaps in security that you mentioned, were there any
- 7 other gaps in security?
- Witness Well, if I can speak from a principled
- 9 standpoint, one thing you learn at the Academy, one of our
- 10 most elementary concerns is obviously line of sight. And
- 11 you utilize physical structures. You can utilize physical
- 12 structures to mitigate line of sight. You can bring in box
- 13 trucks, you can bring in -- and there was some of that that
- 14 took place at that site. And those are very basic building
- 15 block concepts that we use to take certain things out of
- 16 sight to mitigate line of sight. And those principles
- 17 should always be applied.
- PSI Minority Anything else?
- 19 Witness The most important part of an advance when
- 20 you're working with other agencies, and this is
- 21 memorialized in our manual during the advance process, is
- there is understanding that your local counterparts may
- 23 have, you know, less experience in regards to Secret
- 24 Service methodologies.
- Now, I'm not quoting it verbatim, and I'm



- 1 paraphrasing, but the point is, during the advance process,
- 2 it's your responsibility through meetings, through police
- 3 meetings, through walkthroughs, through final walkthroughs,
- 4 to ensure that your counterparts understand the security
- 5 plan and their role within the security plan.
- 6 **PSI Minority** So, just so I understand, sir. As far
- 7 as you're aware, Secret Service personnel did not come to
- 8 that understanding with their local counterparts?
- 9 Witness Again, I don't have personal knowledge of
- 10 every aspect of what they did and didn't know. But they
- 11 need during the advance week to ensure that their local
- 12 counterparts understand their role in that comprehensive
- 13 security plan, and do the actions even a third party could
- observe on the ground. Clearly, there was some confusion.
- 15 So, what was the extent of that pre-coordination and
- 16 planning during the advance process? That remains to be
- 17 determined.
- 18 PSI Minority I'm going to turn it over to my
- 19 colleague, PSI Minority
- PSI Minority Hi, Assistant Director Witness My name's
- 21 **PSI Minority** with Senator Johnson's office. Thank you for
- 22 being here. We're going to introduce an exhibit and
- PSI Minority's passing it around. I think it'll be Exhibit 3.
- 24 [Exhibit No. 3 was
- marked for identification.]



- PSI Minority This is a Washington Post article from
- July 30th, 2024, titled, "Trump Rally Gunman Stopped Firing
- 3 After Local Officer Shot at Him."
- 4 And I would like everyone to turn their attention to
- 5 page 3. And I believe each copy I briefly highlighted the
- 6 section that I'd like to talk about. That section reads
- 7 from the Post, "The local law enforcement official close to
- 8 the investigation did not know whether the local officer's
- 9 shot hit Crooks. But shortly after that shot, Crooks
- 10 altered his positioning, the official said. Crooks stopped
- 11 shooting at the rally site and slumped down behind the
- 12 crest of the sloped roof where he was perched, the official
- 13 said.
- 14 After the local police officer's shot, there was
- definitely some sort of reaction, the official said.
- 16 Crooks slumped over, and he didn't fire another round. The
- 17 official credited the local officer with interrupting
- 18 Crook's attack. Anything that disrupts an active shooter
- 19 can keep the situation from situation from being
- 20 significantly more catastrophic."
- Is this news to you, Assistant Director Witness
- MR. Witness Counsel Sorry, do you mean is the article new --
- as in he hasn't seen it, or do you mean the content of the
- 24 article?
- 25 PSI Minority The content of what I just read. Is this



- 1 new to him?
- Witness I don't have knowledge of a local officer
- 3 -- of the local officer who shot. There's initial
- 4 reporting that local law enforcement may have shot the
- 5 individual, but then it was concluded that our CS -- and
- 6 this is, you know, as things were just breaking that night.
- 7 That's my last recollection of information that could be --
- 8 have a similar to this. But my knowledge is our counter
- 9 sniper shot the suspect, the individual.
- 10 **PSI Minority** So, after the assassination attempt took
- 11 place, you never received any update or information that a
- 12 local officer in Butler may have taken a shot at Crooks on
- 13 the AGR building?
- 14 Witness There was initial reporting of that. At
- this time, I don't recall receiving updated reporting of
- 16 that.
- PSI Minority After the shots rang out at 6:11 in
- 18 Butler, could you walk us through the proceeding hours and
- 19 who you spoke with? What information was provided or
- 20 discussed? Can you walk us through the night of July 13th?
- 21 We know you were in Milwaukee at the RNC, but can you talk
- 22 to us about who you spoke with; Director Cheatle, Deputy
- 23 Director Rowe, and what information was discussed on the
- 24 night of the 13th?
- Witness Well, at that point, as I mentioned



- 1 earlier, we went back -- I went back to the Coordinating
- 2 Center along with my Dep. Asst. Dir. Dignitary Division, special agent in charge
- 3 of the Dignitary Protective Division, SAIC Dignitary Division. At
- 4 that point, I also reached out to Dep. Asst. Dir. Protective Ops. And then
- 5 was in the process of reaching out to Dep. Asst. Dir. Protective Ops who had -
- 6 I mean, technically he was starting the next day on the
- 7 14th. He's relatively new to OPO. Dep. Asst. Dir. Protective Ops reported to
- 8 our Directorate Crisis Center. Dep. Asst. Dir. Protective Ops was intending
- 9 to head up to **LES**
- 10 LES
- And then on that night, there were a series of calls
- 12 with the directorate staff, some of which were located in
- 13 Milwaukee, and some of which were still located in
- 14 Washington, DC, which I believe the bulk of them were.
- 15 Obviously, I was out at the RNC because the NRC was going
- 16 on.
- PSI Minority So, the night of July 13th, did you speak
- 18 directly with Director Cheatle or Deputy Director Rowe
- 19 about the Butler assassination attempt?
- Witness Yes. Myself and Dep. Asst. Dir. Dignitary Division were in another
- 21 room. She was in a room across the hall. At that point,
- obviously, I was just focused on any follow-ons that could
- happen, focused on surging on the White House,
- 24 communicating with the other details, **LES**
- , and collaborating with the Uniformed



- 1 Division. And that's where my focus and Dep. Asst. Dir. Dignitary Division focus
- 2 was.
- 3 Dep. Asst. Dir. Digmitary Division was more or less communicating with the DSAIC
- 4 from the Donald Trump detail because, obviously, the SAIC
- 5 was at his hands full. So, she more or less leveraged him
- 6 to communicate with the detail. And then, where I guess we
- 7 would intersect with the director is when the director
- 8 crisis calls would take place then we would go in that room
- 9 and participate in that collective calling process.
- 10 PSI Minority On the night of July 13th, when you were
- on those director crisis calls, is that the first time you
- 12 apprised Director Cheatle or Deputy Director Rowe that
- 13 counter sniper assets were at Butler and you had approved
- 14 them for former President Trump's outdoor rallies?
- Witness I don't know if I brought up that I
- 16 approved them. I just brought up the fact that it was our
- 17 counter sniper that was the shooter and they were at the
- 18 site.
- 19 PSI Minority Right. What I'm trying to figure out is
- 20 this; did Director Cheatle and Deputy Director Rowe know
- 21 that you had approved counter sniper assets to former
- 22 President Trump's outdoor rallies?
- 23 Witness I don't recall if I specifically told them
- 24 or not that I had approved it. I just informed them that
- it was, in fact, our CS when we were discussing the matter.



- 1 PSI Minority What was Director Cheatle's or Deputy
- 2 Director Rowe's reaction to that?
- Witness I didn't make an assessment or the
- 4 reaction. I mean, it was a frenetic time, you know,
- 5 getting multiple calls trying to get ahold of people. I
- 6 mean, it was just passing information.
- 7 PSI Minority So, the night of July 13th, Director
- 8 Cheatle, and Deputy Director Rowe, were they aware that
- 9 former President Trump's event in Butler had counter sniper
- 10 assets?
- Witness Were they aware?
- PSI Minority Correct, sir.
- 13 Witness I don't know if they were aware. I mean -
- 14 -
- PSI Minority Because you didn't tell them about your
- 16 signing off on them. Is that correct?
- Witness I don't want to say I didn't tell them.
- 18 Conversely, I wouldn't feel the need to tell them, or not
- 19 tell them, or ask their permission to approve counter
- 20 sniper.
- 21 PSI Minority What approval of assets would make you
- 22 have to tell your superiors.
- Witness As far as approving any asset?
- PSI Minority Yes, sir.
- MR. Witness Counsel Sorry, can I ask a question? Do you



- 1 mean what type of asset would rise to the level of asking
- 2 for approval from the director and deputy director, or do
- 3 you mean how does it work?
- 4 PSI Minority My question is what type of assets are
- 5 you required to notify your superiors of if you approve of
- 6 them?
- Witness Well, I'll answer the question this way.
- 8 I don't know if I'm required, but I know when I approved
- 9 counter assault, you know, in April, I informed the deputy
- 10 director. You know, I said, "Hey, just so you know, we're
- 11 assigning counter assault to the Donald Trump detail." You
- 12 know, and I would think the same thing would've occurred
- 13 when I signed counter sniper just to pass for information.
- 14 And I would suppose if he objected, he would've informed me
- of his objection.
- 16 PSI Minority On the night of July 13th, on the
- 17 director crisis call, did Director Cheatle and Deputy
- 18 Director Rowe know that Hercules 1 CS had shot Crooks, or was this
- 19 the first time they had been informed of that?
- 20 Witness I think we were getting updates on those
- 21 director crisis calls, or I shouldn't say, I think we were
- 22 getting updates on what transpired on the ground on those
- 23 director crisis calls. And during one of those calls, at
- some point, we were informed that Hercules 1 CVS was the shooter.
- 25 PSI Minority Did Director Cheatle or Deputy Director



- 1 Rowe task you with anything to obtain from Butler, PA on
- 2 those calls on July 13th?
- Witness Well, at that point for command and
- 4 control of the scene, that will come under the assistant
- 5 director of investigations. And at that point, interim
- 6 presence is going to be maintained by the local field
- 7 office management, and they're going to be the conduit to
- 8 be getting information off the ground, working in
- 9 conjunction with our protective intelligence folks.
- 10 **PSI Minority** Is there anything else from that director
- 11 crisis call that night of July 13th that the committee
- 12 should know about?
- Witness Well, as I said, there were a series of
- 14 calls that night, and my interpretation of those calls is
- 15 we were trying to get information, and insight, and
- 16 understanding, and were getting updates as to what happened
- in regards to this incident.
- PSI Minority Did you have anyone from your directorate
- on the ground in Butler, PA on July 13th?
- Witness Yes. We had Uniformed Division officers,
- obviously, the Donald Trump detail, and one of the side
- 22 agents
- 23 PSI Minority Who on the ground in Butler, PA did you
- 24 directly communicate with to get information about what had
- 25 happened?



- 1 Witness Who did I communicate directly with on the
- 2 ground?
- PSI Minority Yes, sir.
- 4 Witness We were communicating, as I mentioned
- 5 earlier, with the DSAIC of the Donald Trump detail. And my
- 6 Dep. Asst. Dir. Dignitary Division, we were basically sitting in a room in the
- 7 coordination center. She was sitting at a desk next to
- 8 mine, and essentially, she was communicating with the
- 9 DSAIC. And I was sitting there listening to the
- 10 conversations.
- PSI Minority Who's the name of the DSAIC down in
- 12 Butler?
- 13 Witness Dep Asst Agent in Charge
- PSI Minority

  Dep Asst Agent in Charge
- 15 Witness Dep Asst Agent in Charge
- PSI Minority Could you spell that?
- 17 Witness It's Dep Asst Agent in Charge
- 18 **PSI Minority** Thank you.
- Witness That's who Dep. Asst. Dir. Dignitary Division was basically
- 20 communicating with that night. That's when, I believe, the
- first call that came in came to property to him.
- 22 PSI Minority When you were working the RNC up in
- 23 Milwaukee, is it standard procedure for Secret Service to
- 24 contact and reach out to local businesses around the venue
- 25 that the RNC was occurring at?



- 1 Witness Yes. We establish -- we typically have --
- 2 it's like an outreach subcommittee that will interface with
- 3 members of the local, public, and local businesses.
- 4 Because obviously, the anti-scale fencing perimeter that we
- 5 drop can be a disruptive force throughout any city, and we
- 6 want to be able to facilitate not disrupting their
- 7 businesses.
- 8 PSI Minority And I recognize that the NRC is different
- 9 from what the rally was at Butler, but once again, would it
- 10 be standard procedure for the rally in Butler for Secret
- 11 Service agents to interact with local businesses around the
- 12 site?
- Witness Well, speaking generalities, any site that
- 14 you have is typically going to impact neighboring
- businesses, possibly residences. And during your advance,
- 16 part of your normal course of business is to interact with,
- 17 you know, the owners of those businesses or those
- 18 residences. If you're going to say shut down someone's
- 19 access to their private residence as part of your security
- 20 planning, you'll have to come up with some way to
- 21 facilitate, obviously, the entry to their home if it's
- 22 bordering on your perimeter, so to speak. So, those type
- 23 of interactions are common.
- 24 PSI Minority Did Secret Service agents interact with
- anyone who worked the AGR building before July 13th?



- 1 Witness I don't have personal knowledge of their
- 2 interaction. You know, subsequent to the 13th, you know, I
- 3 was informed the AGR building, the AGR cluster of buildings
- 4 was closed for the day. So, if I had to make an
- 5 assumption, I assume someone from the advance team must
- 6 have had some kind of interaction with the owner.
- 7 PSI Minority Do you know that to have happened, or
- 8 you're just assuming that happened?
- 9 Witness I don't have personal knowledge if that
- 10 happened.
- PSI Minority A couple more questions, then I'll turn
- 12 it over to my colleagues. Did Crooks act alone?
- Witness Did Crooks act alone on that day? Did to,
- 14 to my knowledge it was one shooter and he acted alone.
- PSI Minority Was Crooks on any local, state, or
- 16 federal radar before July 13th?
- 17 Witness I think that would be a question better
- 18 directed to the FBI. I don't have knowledge if he was.
- 19 PSI Minority Did you interact with the ATF on the
- 20 night of July 13th or any point after July 13th?
- 21 Witness I did not interact with the ATF. That
- 22 would be the office of Investigations.
- PSI Minority Okay. Thank you. I'll turn it over to
- 24 my colleagues.
- 25 HSGAC Majority Thank you. Assistant Director Witness a



- 1 couple of follow-up questions on something you were just
- discussing with my colleagues. You spoke about
- 3 communication gaps as one of, in your opinion, the failures
- 4 on July 13th. And you talked a little bit about delays in
- 5 information getting to the Secret Service. But I wanted to
- 6 follow-up on what is supposed to happen once information
- 7 does reach the Secret Service? And in your experience, you
- 8 know, you could speak in generalities, not necessarily with
- 9 respect to the event on the 13th, but when information
- 10 reaches the Secret Service, who is responsible for ensuring
- 11 that relevant information gets to others in the Secret
- 12 Service, who should have that information?
- Witness Well, at any site, the security room is
- 14 supposed to serve as a hub of communications, so to speak.
- 15 In generalities, if you received information of an
- 16 individual, you would put that over the radio via the
- 17 security room. You have the ability to dispatch a -- you
- would have a **LES**
- 19 that could go and investigate and talk to the individual,
- 20 establish a consensual conversation, and see where that
- 21 leads.
- HSGAC Majority And within the security room, is it the
- 23 Security Room agent who's responsible for ensuring that
- 24 information gets out, or is it a shared responsibility
- 25 amongst others



- 1 Witness For Secret Service personnel, that
- 2 information would be passed by the Secret Service
- 3 representative in the security room. I would typically --
- 4 contemporaneously if there's local law enforcement
- 5 representation in the security room, I would think that
- 6 information would be passed as well because, obviously,
- 7 it's a collaborative effort.
- 8 HSGAC Majority And would it be, you know, if
- 9 information reaches you said that the Secret Service
- 10 representative -- and our understanding is that there was
- 11 more than one Secret Service official in the Secret Service
- 12 security room on the day of the 13th, but that one of those
- 13 people was designated as a security room agent. Is that
- 14 who you're referring to as the representative, or?
- 15 Witness Yeah. The supervisor in the security room
- 16 should be the individual that's passing the information.
- 17 HSGAC Majority Okay. And, you know, just speaking from
- 18 your background and your general, you know, all of your
- 19 experience in law enforcement and the Secret Service, the
- 20 fact of a suspicious individual with a rangefinder being
- 21 identified at a rally, an outdoor event, were that
- 22 information to reach the security room, is that something
- that, in your opinion, should be radioed-out widely to the
- 24 Secret Service
- 25 Witness Based on just the fact of the range



- 1 finder, or based on other additional factors, just so I
- 2 understand your question?
- 3 HSGAC Majority It's the fact that local law enforcement
- 4 has spotted a suspicious individual with the range finder.
- 5 And without any further information, would that be
- 6 something in itself that is worthy of passing onto a wider
- 7 audience over the radio?
- 8 Witness If information was passed to me that there
- 9 was an individual around one of our sites with a range
- 10 finder, I would want to have a follow-up conversation with
- 11 that individual. Now, have there been other sites where
- 12 hobbyists have had range finders? Yes. But it's also
- 13 based on a totality circumstances, and each situation is
- 14 going to be evaluated based on those particular sets of
- 15 facts. But based on from what I've been told, it seems
- 16 like there was additional information that would've
- warranted a conversation possibly.
- 18 HSGAC Majority And from your view, you know, if local
- 19 law enforcement or whichever entities are attempting to
- 20 locate and have that conversation with the individual, if
- 21 they're unable to locate them, and it's communicated to you
- 22 that, you know, we've been trying to track the individual
- with the range finder, we haven't been able to find him and
- 24 interview him, is that information that you believe should
- 25 be shared widely in the Secret Service before the protectee



- 1 is allowed to take the stage?
- Witness Well, I believe more information is
- 3 better, and before one is going to go to from a steady
- 4 state of operations and into crisis management, it is going
- 5 to be a basis of information that informs that decision-
- 6 making. So, timely passing of information is always key.
- 7 So, to answer your question, in general, real-time updates
- 8 help inform your situational awareness of what's going on
- 9 at any site.
- 10 HSGAC Majority And in terms of, you know -- I mean,
- 11 obviously we know that the protectee was -- that no changes
- 12 were made to his movements in advance of the shooting.
- 13 What is your understanding of who would've been in a
- 14 position to make a decision on whether to either prevent
- 15 the former President from taking the stage or to pull him
- off the stage after he had already started? I guess what
- 17 I'm trying to ask is who would've been in a position to
- 18 evaluate information and make a decision on whether it
- warranted a change in the protectees planned movements?
- Witness Well, again, I'll answer the question this
- 21 way. I don't have personal knowledge of what -- to answer
- 22 your question, the person that would take the protectee off
- the stage could be a member of the working shift, would
- 24 typically be the working supervisor or the second
- 25 supervisor to make that kind of decision. But I'm not



- 1 aware of what information they had at that time that would
- 2 inform that decision-making. Obviously, taking a protectee
- 3 off the stage is a significant thing. So, I would
- 4 speculate they would want to ensure they had the correct
- 5 information before they did such a thing.
- 6 HSGAC Majority Yeah. Understood. And just one more
- 7 question on along these lines. Understanding that it's the
- 8 shift or the shift in detail, or that the detail agents who
- 9 are close to the protectee, in your view, you know, any
- 10 Secret Service agent working an event is aware of the fact
- 11 that it's the shift that is close to the protectee and
- 12 needs to have relevant information that may be taken into
- 13 consideration if a change of the movements is needed. Is
- 14 that's an understanding of everyone in the Secret Service
- 15 at an event would have maybe -- let me say that again.
- Is it fair to say that any Secret Service agent
- 17 working an event with a protectee would share the
- 18 understanding that information that may warrant
- 19 reevaluating the protectee's movements, that information
- should be passed to members of the shift or the protectee's
- 21 detail?
- 22 Witness Yes. Timely passing of information is
- 23 key.
- HSGAC Majority And specifically, to members of the
- 25 detail?



- 1 Witness Of the working shift, yes.
- 2 HSGAC Majority Okay. And in your experience
- 3 holistically, you know, again, obviously understanding
- 4 circumstances are -- you know, individual circumstances
- 5 need to be taken into account, but if you receive
- 6 information that you think, you know, I'm not sure if this
- 7 is serious enough, you know, this is something that those
- 8 around the protectee should be aware of. Your
- 9 understanding is the expectation is you would radio that to
- 10 the shift?
- 11 Witness Yes. Well, if there's information that
- 12 would be relevant to the shift in regards to a protectee's
- movement, obviously related to the protectee's safety,
- 14 potential safety, that should be immediately passed.
- 15 **HSGAC Majority** And would an individual on a rooftop be
- that sort of information that should be passed?
- 17 Witness If you had an unauthorized individual on a
- 18 rooftop that had line of sight at any site, that would give
- 19 me concern to pause before exposing the protectee to that
- 20 line of sight. Yes.
- 21 HSGAC Majority And if you came across that information,
- is that something you would immediately radio to the shift?
- 23 Witness If there was an unauthorized individual on
- 24 the roof?
- 25 **HSGAC Majority** Yeah.



- 1 Witness Yes. If there was an unauthorized
- 2 individual on a roof that had line of sight to a protectee,
- 3 that should be immediately passed.
- 4 HSGAC Majority Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.
- 5 PSI Majority I have just a few questions. Thanks,
- 6 again. There are certain members of a protective event of
- 7 a site that have technical training. In particular, I'm
- 8 thinking of the CUAS operators. Are there certifications
- 9 for operating CUAS equipment?
- 10 Witness That doesn't fall under my purview, but
- 11 people in the Secret Service that operate Counter UAS
- 12 receive training from our director of training.
- PSI Majority And is that required?
- 14 Witness It's training that's required. Yes.
- 15 PSI Majority It's mandatory to receive that
- 16 training, that to require certification before you operate
- 17 that equipment?
- Witness To my knowledge, yes. But that's
- 19 administered or managed by the director of training.
- 20 **PSI Majority** And is that underneath your office?
- Witness No.
- PSI Majority No? Okay.
- Witness No.
- 24 PSI Majority So, do you have any oversight over
- 25 CUAS capabilities?



- 1 Witness No. The Counter UAS assets fall under the
- 2 director of technology and development. We've changed the
- name a couple times, but technology and development.
- 4 PSI Majority Okay. So, there's no overlap for
- 5 events or making sure events are either resources, or
- 6 assets, or qualified professionals?
- 7 Witness To my understanding is to operate Counter
- 8 UAS, you receive training from the director of training and
- 9 they administer that.
- PSI Majority And if you received somebody to
- operate a piece of equipment that was not qualified, would
- 12 that fall within your purview?
- 13 Witness If they -- can you ask the question again?
- MR. Witness Counsel Sorry, can I just clarify? Do you mean
- 15 a Counter UAS asset?
- PSI Majority A Counter UAS. That you had equipment
- 17 at the site and the person who showed up was unqualified to
- 18 operate the equipment?
- 19 MR. Witness Counsel Is that under, you're asking if it's
- 20 under his supervision?
- PSI Majority Yes. Or not you directly, but
- 22 somebody in your unit of management?
- Witness Well, the Donald Trump detail had people
- that were trained to go out and do Counter UAS and the
- 25 special agent in charge of that detail before they had them



- 1 take on that assignment or tasking should have sent them to
- 2 the director of training's course on Counter UAS.
- 3 PSI Majority It was our understanding that the only
- 4 person on site that day to operate Counter UAS equipment
- 5 had less than an hour of training to operate that
- 6 equipment. Does that surprise you?
- 7 MR. Witness Counsel Is the question, did he have an
- 8 understanding of that, or that --
- 9 PSI Majority Well, I guess two parts. Did you have
- 10 an understanding of that? Was that your understanding, and
- 11 if not, does it surprise you?
- 12 Witness I did not have an understanding of that,
- 13 and my understanding was the Counter UAS training was a
- 14 course that they take under our director of training at our
- 15 training center.
- PSI Majority So, it does surprise you?
- 17 Witness It surprises me in what context?
- 18 PSI Majority That he received less than an hour of
- 19 training before that day?
- 20 Witness I don't know the exact length of the
- 21 training course that the director of training provides, but
- 22 -- I don't have understanding of the syllabus or the
- 23 agenda, but --
- PSI Majority He never attended a formal course. It
- 25 was field training and it was less than an hour.



- Witness Okay.
- 2 PSI Majority Does that surprise you?
- Witness That surprises me.
- 4 PSI Majority And I know we're running short on
- 5 time. I'll try to move a little bit faster. You had
- 6 mentioned that one of the failures was line of sight, and
- 7 you mentioned a hardware that could be used to mitigate
- 8 line of sight. And our understanding in talking to various
- 9 agents was that there were trucks, farm equipment,
- 10 bleachers, or various measures that were considered to
- 11 mitigate line of sight? Is that your understanding as
- 12 well?
- Witness Yes.
- 14 PSI Majority And do you know why those were not
- 15 implemented?
- 16 Witness Just so I understand your question. I
- 17 thought you said that they used various pieces of farm
- 18 equipment?
- 19 PSI Majority That they had considered using it, but
- 20 that they didn't wind up using it to mitigate the AGR
- 21 complex of buildings.
- 22 Witness They did use some of the farm equipment to
- 23 mitigate line of sight. I think there was, based on what
- 24 I've received secondhand, some back and forth in regards to
- 25 the placement of the farm, combine equipment.



1	PSI Majority And back and forth with whom?
2	Witness The staff.
3	PSI Majority Secret service staff?
4	Witness No, I think the campaign staff.
5	PSI Majority The campaign staff. And in a back and
6	forth like that, who's and I think this gets back to a
7	question I was asking before of who, you know, where the
8	buck stops here, right? Like, who's the person who says,
9	"This is my site, and this is where we're putting the
10	equipment?" Because it sounds like, from our
11	understanding, that the Trump campaign said we don't want
12	it in our shot and our media shot, and then at some point
13	the decision was reached. Okay, well, we'll just cover it
14	with counter snipers. What agent should have been
15	responsible for saying that is a concern and we're putting
16	bleachers, trucks, whatever, here. And that's the end of
17	the conversation. What agent would that have been?
18	Witness I don't have firsthand knowledge of those
19	discussions. However, just in any site, one can sometimes
20	expect there's going to be some pushback or disagreement
21	ultimately of where certain resources may land as a result
22	of aesthetics. But that's the responsibility of the lead
23	agent and the site agent to ensure that in their security
24	plan, they're mitigating this line of sight. And if that
25	results on following conversations or things being just



- 1 like anything else in life, being pushed up the chain of
- 2 command, then those conversations should take place.
- 3 So, yeah, ultimately, it's the responsibility of the
- 4 lead advance and the site agent to ensure that they have
- 5 taken the appropriate steps to mitigate line of sight at
- 6 their site and at their advance.
- 7 PSI Majority In retrospect, do you feel that the
- 8 lead agent and the site agent were too deferential to the
- 9 campaign on this?
- 10 Witness I don't have every aspect to the
- interactions of their conversation, but regardless of
- 12 whether they were deferential or not, their job was to
- 13 mitigate line of sight. And if they didn't mitigate line
- 14 of sight, that's an issue.
- PSI Majority And then last question. Looking back
- over everything that's happened and the fact that so many
- of the components were within the OPO, do you take any
- 18 responsibility for what happened on July 13th?
- 19 Witness In regards to?
- 20 **PSI Majority** The security failures that happened on
- 21 July 13th?
- Witness Well, ultimately, I feel the Secret
- 23 Service is responsible. The failure at Butler was not
- 24 solely a resourcing issue. Clearly, there were gaps on the
- 25 ground. You know, I wish I could be in every place at



- 1 every point in time. That's not possible. We're reliant
- on our senior leaders, of which there were multiple on the
- 3 ground in their decision-making ability, and their ability
- 4 to review plans and make informed decisions. And clearly,
- 5 something failed that day.
- 6 PSI Majority That's it for me. Thank you.
- 7 HSGAC Majority I want to talk about areas of
- 8 responsibility in each of the areas you identified as gaps
- 9 or failures. You just addressed one with respect to line
- 10 of sight. With respect to your earlier testimony about
- 11 communications, you were not responsible for any decisions
- 12 related to the establishment of the communications set up
- 13 that day. Is that correct?
- 14 Witness That's correct.
- 15 HSGAC Majority Who was responsible within the Secret
- 16 Service for the decision not to co-locate the command
- 17 posts?
- 18 Witness I don't have knowledge of that.
- 19 HSGAC Majority Who was responsible within the Secret
- 20 Service for the decision to have a U.S. Secret Service
- 21 security site set up for Butler?
- 22 Witness Can you clarify your question.
- 23 HSGAC Majority Someone was responsible for advanced
- 24 planning for July 13th. We know there was a lead agent --
- 25 let me make sure I get the terminology correct. Was the



- 1 lead agent responsible for the decision to set up a U.S.
- 2 Secret Service security room?
- Witness The lead advance agent is responsible for
- 4 setting up a comprehensive security plan that includes the
- 5 ability to communicate with your Secret Service personnel,
- 6 and with state, and local counterparts.
- 7 HSGAC Majority And is that same individual or somebody
- 8 else responsible for the other gap? You discussed the
- 9 coordination responsibility between federal, state, and
- 10 local.
- Witness Well, again, as I stated earlier, the
- 12 reason why we have a network of field offices is they have
- those pre-established relationships with their state,
- 14 local, and federal counterparts to implement our security
- 15 plans. The field office management will establish the
- 16 police meeting, provide a briefing with their local
- 17 counterparts and our Secret Service personnel. It's one of
- 18 the first things you do during the advance process to start
- 19 to establish the framework for the security plan.
- 20 HSGAC Majority So, who is responsible?
- 21 Witness The field office management is responsible
- 22 for establishing that first police meeting and establishing
- those relationships and the development of the security
- 24 plan.
- 25 HSGAC Majority Did any issues related to the operability



- of radio communication, Secret Service radio communications
- 2 systems for July 13th rise to your office?
- Witness No, they did not.
- 4 HSGAC Majority Did any concerns or questions related to
- 5 coverage, responsibility, or line of sight considerations
- 6 for the AGR building in advance of July 13th rise to your
- 7 office?
- 8 Witness No, they did not.
- 9 HSGAC Majority Go ahead.
- 10 **HSGAC Minority** Was there an elevated threat environment
- 11 to former President Trump on July 13th?
- Witness Well, again, President Trump is a former
- 13 President, was one of our most high-profile former
- 14 Presidents. He was a candidate. And we obviously had
- 15 concerns as he was a candidate as we would with anybody
- 16 else that was a former President running as a candidate.
- 17 HSGAC Minority Okay. So, yes. Okay. And what about
- 18 the week leading up to July 13th, same answer?
- 19 Witness In regards to what?
- 20 **HSGAC Minority** An elevated threat environment.
- 21 Witness Again, the director of SII would be the
- 22 one to speak to his threat level. But again, we had
- incrementally been increasing his assets over a period of
- 24 time because we had concerns with the overall threat
- 25 pressure.



- 1 HSGAC Minority In your opinion, would you characterize
- 2 it as an elevated threat environment?
- Witness Compared to what a normal former President
- 4 is under?
- 5 **HSGAC Minority** Yes.
- Witness Yes.
- 7 HSGAC Minority Okay. Why wasn't there a counter-
- 8 surveillance unit at the Butler event?
- 9 Witness Again, I wasn't involved in the advanced
- 10 planning for the Butler event. I don't have an answer as
- 11 to why counter surveillance was not requested.
- 12 **HSGAC Minority** So, there were counter snipers that were
- 13 at the event. There was, in your personal view, an
- 14 elevated threat environment, but there wasn't a CSU. Did
- 15 the individuals that could have requested a CSU, did they
- 16 have an understanding of the elevated threat environment
- 17 surrounding the protectee?
- 18 Witness I would think for an outdoor site,
- 19 regardless of the threat environment, one would as part of
- 20 their advance process, one would request a CSU element due
- 21 to the fact that they were at a large outdoor venue.
- HSGAC Minority So, in your personal opinion, should a
- 23 CSU have been requested?
- Witness Again, I don't have all the information on
- 25 the ground of where they might have had other resources to



- 1 mitigate those concerns. However, a large outdoor venue
- 2 would lend itself for requesting a CSU-type asset. It
- 3 could have been sourced locally from the field office,
- 4 possibly. I don't know.
- 5 HSGAC Minority And do you believe if a CSU would've
- 6 been requested, that it would've been provided for this
- 7 event?
- 8 Witness If CSU had been requested?
- 9 HSGAC Minority Yes.
- 10 HSGAC Minority Because that request would've been, I
- 11 guess, staffed by someone in that falls under your chain of
- 12 command. Right?
- 13 Witness It ultimately would've come in through the
- 14 Staffing and Logistics Office, and then pushed to the
- 15 Directorate of SII, or would've been staffed locally
- 16 through the Office of Investigations. That's not a
- 17 resource that's staffed by the Office of Protective
- 18 Operations. So, we did not deny any requests for CSU.
- 19 HSGAC Minority I wasn't -- and I don't believe a
- 20 request was actually made for CSU, but so that -- so if a
- 21 CSU request that is not approved or staffed, that doesn't
- 22 fall under OPO?
- Witness It does not fall under OPO.
- HSGAC Minority Okay. So, SII or investigations
- 25 actually makes a determination?



- 1 Witness The staffing for it would likely come from
- 2 the Office of Investigations to resource the allocation for
- 3 the CSU team.
- 4 HSGAC Minority Okay. Would having a CSU team there,
- 5 would that have provided more personnel to potentially
- 6 locate and interview the suspicious person with a range
- 7 finder that Secret Service was aware of around 5:44 p.m.
- 8 Witness Having the CSU element would've provided -
- 9 I can't say if they specifically would've found the
- 10 person with the rangefinder or not, but an area of focus
- 11 for CSU is the outer perimeter to look for that pre-attack
- 12 behavior. So, possibly.
- 13 **HSGAC Minority** Prior to July 13th, were you aware of an
- 14 Iranian assassination plot against President Trump?
- 15 Witness I can't recall prior to July 13th if I had
- 16 low-side information in regards to a possible assassination
- 17 attempt in regards to Donald Trump. So, I wouldn't be able
- 18 to discuss it in this setting at this time.
- 19 HSGAC Minority Okay. So, prior to July 13th, were you
- 20 aware that an individual, the day before the rally, so July
- 21 12th, had been arrested, a Pakistani National with links to
- 22 Iran, orchestrating a plot to assassinate U.S. government
- officials on U.S. soil? Were you aware of that arrest
- 24 prior to July 13th?
- 25 Witness Again, ma'am, I'm trying to be transparent



- 1 --
- 2 HSGAC Minority I know.
- Witness -- as I can. And I don't want to give the
- 4 impression I'm not. There's been a lot of information out
- 5 there. We're all aware of defendant Merchant that's in the
- 6 news, aware of the arrest, was aware of that concern. I
- 7 can't recall if I was informed of that information in the
- 8 public setting in the low-side, or if there was information
- 9 related to that in the classified setting.
- 10 HSGAC Minority Maybe a better way to frame this. Were
- 11 you aware of it prior to the unsealing of it subsequently?
- 12 So, the public -- so when it --
- MR. Witness Counsel Are you asking if he was aware of the
- 14 arrest of an individual prior to the arrest?
- 15 HSGAC Minority Of the unsealing? So, he was arrested
- on the 12th. That wasn't made public. So, DOJ did not
- 17 unseal that until -- I'm sorry, I don't have the date, but
- 18 I think it was like July 20-something. Or maybe, no,
- 19 actually it was recent. Sorry. It was made, I think,
- 20 public in August or September. So, it was sealed for quite
- 21 some time. Did you become aware of the arrest prior to the
- 22 unsealing?
- MR. Witness Counsel So, to clarify, did he become aware of
- 24 the arrest prior to the public becoming aware of the
- 25 arrest?



- 1 HSGAC Minority Yes.
- Witness Yes, I believe I was.
- 3 HSGAC Minority And do you recall how you became aware
- 4 error?
- Witness Again, all that information is received by
- 6 our Directorate in SII, and then it is ultimately pushed to
- 7 us, whether on the high-side or the low-side. They are the
- 8 recipients of the intel.
- 9 **HSGAC Minority** Okay. It was August 6th. I'm going to
- jump real quick into something a little bit different. Are
- 11 you aware of the Candidate Protection Advisory Committee?
- 12 Witness Yes, I am.
- 13 **HSGAC Minority** Can you tell us what that is?
- 14 Witness The Candidate Protection Advisory
- 15 Committee, the CPAC, essentially as far as the Secret
- 16 Service end of this, our COO is the recording secretary.
- 17 She interfaces with the CPAC. It's, you know, both sides
- 18 Majority and Minority leadership on both sides. And then
- 19 LES is a participant in it. CPAC is there, again,
- 20 as the words Candidate Protection Advisory Committee, if
- 21 somebody makes a request for protection, it is received
- 22 pushed to them.
- The Secret Services and in this aspect the Office of
- 24 Protective Operations where we just come in, is we just
- 25 provide one of the DHSS criteria. And don't quote me, I



- 1 think it started in 2017, they have a set of criteria, one
- of which is polling data. You have to have a certain
- 3 percentage, I think of 15 percent for over 30 days, be a
- 4 candidate of a major party is another criterion in there.
- 5 I don't recall all of it off the top of my head.
- 6 The Protective Intelligence Division -- or excuse me,
- 7 SII, they provide threat assessment. That information is
- 8 more or less provided to the recording secretary. That
- 9 makes its way over to the CPAC. And they're an advisory
- 10 body that provides a recommendation to the Secretary of
- 11 Homeland, and ultimately a decision is made on whether
- 12 someone will seek protection or not.
- 13 **HSGAC Minority** Okay. So, and that was both sides of
- 14 the Majority, Minority leadership of Congress that serves
- on that advisory committee?
- Witness Yes, ma'am.
- 17 HSGAC Minority Okay. And so, I guess, they make a
- 18 determination or recommendation about whether or not Secret
- 19 Service protection should be provided to a candidate, and
- 20 DHS ultimately has the ultimate authority to accept or
- 21 reject that recommendation?
- Witness Yes. That's my understanding, yes.
- HSGAC Minority And do they only have a role in the
- 24 initial determination of protection, or do they have any
- 25 role in the level of protection or the assets that are



- 1 provided?
- Witness No, I mean, they just sign off on whether
- 3 the individual is going to receive protection or not.
- 4 HSGAC Minority Okay. And do you know if they receive
- 5 any notifications about the level of vast protection assets
- 6 that are provided for individual candidates, or is it just
- 7 that's their one role? They don't receive any other
- 8 information?
- 9 Witness Can you explain that a little bit more?
- 10 HSGAC Minority I guess, does Secret Service share
- 11 additional information with the advisory committee?
- 12 Witness Again, my role in that process is limited
- 13 to a certain degree. The COO serves as the recording
- 14 secretary. She interacts with the CPAC, pushes the emails,
- 15 the information. Again, as I said, the Office of
- 16 Protective Operations really just provides some of the
- 17 criteria, which is the polling data.
- 18 **HSGAC Minority** Okay. So, they don't determine the
- 19 level of protection or what assets are granted, or?
- Witness No.
- 21 HSGAC Minority According to publicly available
- 22 documents RFK Jr.'s request for protection was sent to that
- 23 Advisory Committee on June 15th, 2023. Do you know what
- 24 the Advisory Committee's recommendation was?
- 25 Witness On June 15th?



- 1 HSGAC Minority Or for RFKs request for protection?
- Witness Well, I know the RFK campaign had made
- 3 multiple requests. I don't recall that specific one.
- 4 HSGAC Minority Okay. Do you know if multiple requests
- 5 were referred to the Advisory Committee?
- 6 Witness Yes. They were referred to the Advisory
- 7 Committee. I don't recall the specific one. And as I
- 8 said, there were multiple requests. We kind of got used to
- 9 the requests coming in.
- 10 HSGAC Minority Are you aware of any recommendations to
- 11 not --
- 12 Witness I don't believe he received a
- 13 recommendation to receive protection until after the 13th.
- 14 HSGAC Minority Okay. I'll pass it to HSGAC Minority Let's
- 15 see. We've heard from individuals that we've interviewed
- 16 that had they known about a general threat or a specific
- 17 threat, they would have requested or asked for more
- 18 resources, security resources and assets. Do you believe
- 19 that all of the individuals within the Secret Service that
- 20 should have been informed of a generalized threat were
- 21 aware?
- Witness Well, again, the entity that's responsible
- 23 for disseminating that threat information is a different
- 24 directorate. But again, I don't buy off on using
- 25 intelligence as a springboard to deviate from some basic



- 1 principles.
- 2 HSGAC Minority And again, those basic principles that
- 3 you believe were deviated were?
- Witness Well, I think we've discussed them today.
- 5 HSGAC Minority If you could provide one recommendation
- on how what happened on July 13th can be insured to never
- 7 happen again, what would it be?
- 8 Witness Do your job.
- 9 HSGAC Minority And do you believe that individuals know
- what their job is and what they should be responsible for?
- 11 You think that is -- they have proper awareness of that
- 12 within the Secret Service -- because we've heard a lot of,
- 13 "That wasn't my job," as we've talked to people. So,
- 14 that's why I ask.
- Witness Well, our employees have a serious job. I
- 16 mean, when I was on the President's detail, and as a GS-13,
- 17 and you're doing a foreign lead advance for the President
- of the United States with a multi-night RON, you have a lot
- of responsibility that your average GS-13 in the United
- 20 States government is never going to have. And we have a
- job that has to be taken with the utmost seriousness every
- 22 day. Again, I wasn't on the ground in Butler, and I don't
- 23 have the ability to get in the minds of those agents or
- 24 employees. And I wish I could.
- 25 HSGAC Minority So, Special Operations Division, that



- 1 does that fall under OPO?
- Witness Yes, ma'am. It does.
- 3 HSGAC Minority So, the counter sniper advance is
- 4 technically at some level within your purview that we've
- 5 been told that the counter sniper advance never went to the
- 6 AGR building, never went to the AGR property. Do you think
- 7 that the counter sniper advance should have walked the
- 8 outer perimeter?
- 9 Witness I don't have personal knowledge that they
- 10 never went to the AGR building. I was told after the fact
- 11 they did do a walkthrough on 7/11, tactical walkthrough.
- 12 Obviously, that was related to me secondhand. I wasn't
- there, but I was told that did occur. I was told that they
- 14 did go through their GRG, which is a graphic reference
- 15 grid, that basically provides maps, diagrams of the site
- 16 with a local counterpart.
- 17 Again, I don't have all aspects and information to the
- investigation, but again, those are things to me that they
- 19 are taking proactive steps to interface with their local
- 20 counterparts which did not lend itself to the fact that
- 21 they never went to the AGR cluster of buildings. I can't
- 22 say with certainty they didn't, but I was told those things
- 23 I just stated here in this room.
- HSGAC Minority Were you ever told that the counter
- sniper advance went to the AGR property or to the building?



- 1 Witness I wasn't told that they didn't, or they
- 2 did. I was told they did a walk around a walkthrough with
- 3 their tactical counterparts on 7/11. One can never presume
- 4 anything, but I would think that would be inclusive of the
- 5 cluster of AGR buildings at the 3 o'clock, which is one of
- 6 the biggest issues of line of sight at the property.
- 7 HSGAC Minority And the counter sniper advance, is there
- 8 days in advance to be on the property and do these
- 9 walkthroughs as opposed to relying on images and things
- 10 that they could do from --
- 11 Witness Yes.
- 12 HSGAC Minority -- another location? Right. Okay. The
- 13 counter sniper advance told us that he got on the barn
- 14 roofs of where your Secret Service counter snipers were
- 15 located. And he just was trying to see if they would fall
- through the barn as opposed to really evaluating and
- 17 assessing 360, the line-of-sight concerns to the stage. Do
- 18 you believe that that is proper advance work?
- MR. Witness Counsel Can I just ask? Are you saying you were
- 20 told by witnesses this or --
- 21 HSGAC Minority Yes.
- MR. Witness Counsel -- you're presenting the hypothetical to
- 23 him?
- HSGAC Minority No, I'm telling. Yes.
- Witness I have no knowledge of if that is true.



- 1 HSGAC Minority Okay. But if it were true, do you think
- 2 that that is appropriate advance work, given that this
- 3 person falls at some level to under your chain of command?
- Witness So, you're stating that someone stated
- 5 that the counter sniper got on the roof and just was
- 6 concerned about falling through the roof rather than --
- 7 HSGAC Minority I'm saying, should the counter sniper
- 8 advance be taking into account from the positions where the
- 9 counters snipers are going to be located, should he be
- 10 taking in into consideration all line-of-sight concerns to
- 11 the protectee?
- 12 Witness Yes. I mean, that's the basics of the --
- 13 I was never a counter sniper, but I know enough about the
- 14 counter sniper program that, essentially, you're trying to
- 15 see those positions of line of sight from where the
- 16 protectee is standing.
- 17 Again, I will mention during their advance process,
- 18 they did do satellite photos. They did do a graphic
- 19 reference grid. They did do LES . So, that would seem
- 20 to be counterintuitive to whatever this witness is saying,
- 21 but I don't have personal knowledge of that.
- HSGAC Minority Did you ever talk to the counter sniper
- 23 advance that did the advance for the Butler rally?
- Witness No. I purposely haven't talked to him
- 25 because I don't want to be perceived as trying to coerce or



- 1 manipulate the investigative process. That's something
- 2 that they should do.
- 3 HSGAC Minority Okay. Did anyone within your office do
- 4 an assessment of whether the counter sniper advance
- 5 properly conducted his advance?
- Witness I did speak to some of the management from
- 7 Counter Sniper. Again, as I said, in the limited
- 8 conversations I had with them, it wasn't as if he showed up
- 9 for his advance and didn't meet with his local
- 10 counterparts, didn't do his survey, didn't take his photos,
- 11 to your earlier point. So, there was nothing glaring from
- 12 those limited conversations I had with them in regards to
- 13 the advance process. I've never heard what you stated in
- 14 regards to he was concerned about falling through the roof.
- 15 **HSGAC Minority** Or, you know, that's kind of what he
- 16 relayed to us is what his focus was when he was on the barn
- 17 roofs. I mean, at the end of the day, the individual took
- 18 a shot and killed someone. So, I think the line-of-sight
- 19 concern was not completely mitigated because he was able to
- 20 take a shot. And I mean, in your view, were the line-of-
- 21 sight concerns properly mitigated?
- Witness Well, again, as I stated earlier, this
- 23 should be a shared responsibility. And again, when I was
- on the President's detail, and if you were doing an
- 25 airport, you as the site agent, it would be your



- 1 responsibility to somehow, you know, secure box trucks or
- 2 whatever to put along the fence line to mitigate line of
- 3 sight in that aspect. I question, and I don't have
- 4 firsthand knowledge, but I question what the site agent's
- 5 efforts were to mitigate those line-of-sight issues.
- 6 HSGAC Minority And when you say site agents, you were
- 7 told that there were two site agents. One from Pittsburgh
- 8 and one from the Trump detail. Are you speaking to both of
- 9 those people?
- Witness Well, yeah. I would say there was a
- 11 shared responsibility there. If you're a site agent, it's
- 12 your site. You want to make sure it's secure and you want
- 13 to mitigate line of sight issues.
- 14 HSGAC Minority Okay. I'll turn it over to HSGAC Minority
- 15 HSGAC Minority I just want to follow-up with a couple
- 16 things. Thankfully, we're getting back to the questions
- 17 that I asked initially, and we're getting some answers.
- 18 Because it goes back to what I showed you in your own
- 19 protective intelligence on the document I showed you,
- 20 Exhibit 2, meticulous advance work.
- 21 And I ask the question, would this amount to
- 22 meticulous advance work? It's obviously, it did not. It's
- obvious from your own testimony there that if people
- 24 would've done certain things that she had just brought up,
- 25 then it would result in a failure, or it would be a failure



- 1 of meticulous advance work.
- 2 So, we look -- had the side agent, the lead agent, the
- 3 counter sniper advance, from your testimony, those all
- 4 shared a responsibility of ensuring the line of sight was
- 5 mitigated from the AGR. Whether it was to keep someone off
- 6 the roof, block the line of sight, or properly have a
- 7 ability to do so. Am I correct on that? All would share
- 8 the responsibility?
- 9 Witness Yes, sir.
- 10 HSGAC Minority So, you've probably heard the saying,
- 11 routine traffic stop, right, in law enforcement?
- Witness Sure.
- HSGAC Minority We know that's not true.
- Witness No.
- 15 **HSGAC Minority** There's no traffic stop that's ever
- 16 routine.
- Witness No.
- 18 HSGAC Minority Also, have you ever heard the routine
- 19 event, just a routine event? It's not true, is it?
- Witness No.
- 21 **HSGAC Minority** Because every event's different. Do
- you feel like there's an element of complacency, or as I've
- 23 always been told, casual is one syllable from casualty.
- 24 And what you know now, do you feel like there was an
- 25 element of casualness and complacency that led to the



- 1 multiple failures that we've talked about today?
- Witness I don't have insight into the mindset of
- 3 each individual that was on that advance team, but
- 4 regardless of mindset, clearly there was gaps in their
- 5 planning process that led to a failure.
- 6 HSGAC Minority And the last thing I'll say, it's just
- 7 the irony of it all, is that the first time we put counter
- 8 snipers on the roof for a former President's event, is the
- 9 one the time that someone exploited weaknesses that were
- 10 not properly mitigated by the United States Secret Service.
- 11 That's concerning to many people.
- And I want to ask you this, is that concerning to you
- 13 knowing that that's the first time we did this because of
- 14 an elevated risk, but even with that enhancement of an
- 15 added layer of protection, because we work in layers of
- 16 protection, an individual is still able to take the life of
- 17 Corey Comperatore and shoot three other people? Is that
- 18 concerning to you?
- 19 Witness It's beyond concerning.
- 20 HSGAC Minority And I understand. Thank you. That's
- 21 all I have.
- HSGAC Majority Okay. I thank you, Witness I want to
- thank counsel. I know we went over, and I appreciate your
- 24 staying to answer those questions. I will reiterate,
- 25 please do not discuss the contents of the interview today



```
1
    so we can preserve the integrity of our review. And we
 2
    will collect the exhibits. This will conclude the
    interview. And again, thank you very much for your service
 3
    and for your time.
 4
 5
         Witness Thank you.
          [Whereas, at 5:23 p.m., the interview was concluded.]
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



## USSS Assistant Director for the Office of Protective Operations – September 13, 2024

## Combined Errata

Page	Line	Correction
52	1	Strike "of"
52	2	Insert "I" between "actually" and "recently"
52	2	Strike "wrote" and replace with "read"
53	24	Strike "this"
55	23	Strike "resource" and replace with "resources"
56	12	Strike "in" and replace with "on"
57	25	Add "before "Immediate"
63	10	Add "?" to end of line
64	9	Add "of" after "knowledge"
68	8	Add "are" before the second "they"
93	21	Strike "if" and replace with "in"
104	8	Strike "NRC" and replace with "RNC"
122	11	Strike "in"
122	21	Strike "that"
123	22	Add "for" before "orchestrating"
125	4	Strike "error"
130	4	Strike "that" and replace with "and"

## Witness Counsel Errata

Page	Line	Correction
15	22	It appears that "instruments, implements" is in error; based on our best recollection, the witness said "plans, implements, and directs policies" Thus "instruments" is likely a misstatement of the word "implements."
17	7	The word "standards" should be deleted and replaced with "standers." As with other similar corrections below, the witness was describing the role of an individual who is assigned to stand at a specific post; they are referred to as "post standers."
17	8	The word "report" should be deleted and replaced with "support."
17	9	The word "report" should be deleted and replaced with "support."
19	21	The word "advanced" should be deleted and replaced with "advance." The witness was referring to the process of conducting "advance" on a trip or visit of a protectee.
20	5-7	It appears the phrase "rise that my occasion" is in error. To the best of our recollection, the witness said, "as issues arise, if something was to rise to my attention, that would be an anomaly."

20	10	The word "advanced" should be deleted and replaced with "advance."
22	20	The word "standards" should be deleted and replaced with "standers."
22	21	The word "standards" should be deleted and replaced with "standers."
26	14	The acronym "POC" should be deleted and replaced with "PIOC." The next line identifies the full name from which the PIOC derives: Protective Intelligence Operations Center.
29	20	The word "seat" should be deleted and replaced with "sheet." The rest of the witness's answer describes the sheet containing a "list of projected visits."
30	18	The acronym "DDS" should be deleted and replaced with "DADs." This is in reference to "Deputy Assistant Directors," which is used in the subsequent line (line 19).
35	4	The word "tic" should be deleted. The witness was saying the phrase, "trip director," in reference to an agent who coordinates information about a planned trip.
43	25	The acronym "DDs" should be deleted and replaced with "DADs."
45	24	The acronym "DDs" should be deleted and replaced with "DAD."
46	5	The acronym "DDs" should be deleted and replaced with "DADs."
46	16	The word "earlier" should be deleted and replaced with "early."
49	24	The word "decks" should be deleted and replaced with "desk."
54	24	The word "director" should be deleted and replaced with "directive." The witness was answering a question about how a decision (or "determination") was implemented (see Page 54 Line 2, and Page 54 Line 21).
60	7	The word "reads" should be deleted and replaced with "readins." The witness was describing the act of someone being "read-in" to intelligence information.
65	7	To the best of our knowledge, the word "deputy" should be inserted before the phrase "assistant directors." We acknowledge this is a question from HSGAC Minority, not a statement from the witness, but believe that her question was referring to a conversation with the Witness and two deputy assistant directors.
68	14	The word "Director" should be deleted and replaced with "Directorate."
72	13-15	The grammar and punctuation of this section should be adjusted to reflect the witness identifying 3 separate "rings" of protection. The sentences should read: "We have three rings of protection, of 360 coverage: inner (it's mostly Secret Service);

	middle (Secret Service and police); and our outer perimeter,
	which starts to become more of our external partners."
24	The word "preparatory" should be deleted and replaced with
	"prefatory." In asking for clarification from PSI Minority, I was
	noting that the witness had previously indicated that he did not
	conduct the investigation PSI Minority was inquiring about.
6	The word "hole" should be deleted and replaced with "whole."
	The sentence structure should be adjusted to reflect a continuous
	thought: "So, I don't really put much stock in the whole –
	there's been this whole notion of radios and radio."
8	The word "Directorate" should be deleted and replaced
	"Director's," as in "Director's Crisis Center."
12	The word "directorate" should be deleted and replaced with
	"Director's."
15	The second acronym (NRC) should be "RNC."
5	The phrase "was at his hands full" should be deleted and
	replaced with "he had his hands full."
21	The word "side" should be deleted and replaced with "site."
12	The word "director" should be deleted and replaced with
	"Directorate."
19	The word "director" should be deleted and replaced with
	"Directorate."
8	The word "director" should be deleted and replaced with
	"Directorate."
2	The word "director" should be deleted and replaced with
	"Directorate."
14	The word "director" should be deleted and replaced with
	"Directorate."
21	The word "director" should be deleted and replaced with
	"Directorate."
25	The acronym "DHSS" should be deleted and replaced with
	"DHS," referring to the Department of Homeland Security.
	8 12 15 5 21 12 19 8 2 14 21